Peer review of practice (pain medicine practice) - guidelines

PURPOSE

The purpose of the peer review of practice is for a participant to consider their practice and how they may improve in their role as a pain medicine specialist, with the help of a trusted colleague. A colleague observes a participant as they assess a new patient and formulate a management plan, plus observe them consulting in the outpatient clinic and uses a structured form as the basis for a discussion regarding approaches to practice. It is anticipated that the peer review will be a mutually beneficial learning experience.

This activity contributes to the completion of one practice evaluation activity, directly relevant to the participant’s practice, equivalent to 20 credits, for both the recipient of the review and the reviewer.

RELATED DOCUMENTATION

- Peer review of practice agreement form.
- Peer review of practice observation form

PRIOR TO THE REVIEW

1. The participant invites a trusted colleague (reviewer) who is also a registered specialist, to conduct a peer review of practice and organises a mutually convenient date and time for the colleague to observe them for a minimum of half a day, assessing both new and review patients that is representative of their everyday practice. It is recommended that the participant select a list that includes a variety of clinical scenarios.

2. The participant partially completes the peer review of practice agreement form and provides it to the reviewer to sign.

   The agreement refers to the responsibilities of the participant and the reviewer and issues regarding liability. The reviewer should complete the remainder of the form, sign and return to the participant.

3. Prior to the review the participant and reviewer determine the focus areas of the peer review prior the observation. The observation form includes four categories aligned to the ANZCA and FPM Roles in Practice:
   - Patient Management (Medical Expert)
   - Communication (Communicator)
   - Teamwork/Collaboration (Collaborator)
   - Management (Leader and Manager, Health Advocate and Professional)

   While participant can highlight particular aspects of practice within categories to be the focus, a minimum of one item in each category should be identified and discussed.

   Fellows should keep in mind that the purpose of this activity is professional development and that focus areas should be aspects of practice for which feedback would be most valuable. The reviewer may wish to explore other issues that arise during the observation, and is encouraged to make notes accordingly.

4. The participant obtains consent from the patient, and informs other relevant staff that a second pain medicine specialist will be present for continuing professional development purposes.

THE REVIEW AND FEEDBACK

5. The reviewer uses the observation form to document examples of the Fellow’s practice. It is recommended that an electronic version be used, as the cells on the form are expandable to incorporate detailed notes.

   This activity is not an assessment and therefore does not include a scale to make a judgement on the participant performance. Each item should be viewed as a prompt for the reviewer to initiate a conversation with the participant about each aspect of practice and their underlying reasoning for the method they have chosen to adopt. The reviewer may volunteer examples from their own practice and engage the participant in a discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of each. A discussion of the management of unexpected events or emergencies that may occur in relation the cases observed, and what the participant may have done in the event of alternate scenarios, may also be useful.
6. During the observation the role of the reviewer is only to observe the participant. They should not intervene, unless requested to do so or in the interest of patient safety.

7. Immediately after the observation has finished, the reviewer should take some time to consider their notes on the form and how they will approach the discussion with the participant. The discussion should occur on the same day as the observation period, ideally within an hour of the completion of the review. The participant and reviewer should meet in a private office or room for a minimum of 20-30 minutes.

8. It is suggested that, as a starting point, the reviewer concentrate positive aspects of the participant’s practice. The participant could be asked what they thought they did well and any aspects of practice they would improve if they were in the same situation again.

The reviewer should then initiate discussion on other aspects of practice according to notes made on the form. The reviewer may find it helpful to start the discussion with an observed behaviour and then a comment or question to lead the exchange.

The following are examples of statements and questions that could be used to facilitate discussion:

“I noticed that you<insert approach here>. I just wondered what your reasons were for choosing this approach”.

“How do you decide whether to have a family member present”?

“Do you find it helpful to collaborate with the referring doctor, or the Drugs of Dependence Unit prior to the consultation?”

I noticed you <insert strategies here> and this prevented <insert specifics>. Have you had a similar case where the outcome was not as favourable?

With the last patient I could see that <insert specifics>. How would you have managed <insert alternate scenario>?

The ANZCA and FPM Roles in Practice section of the curriculum will provide practical guidance on competencies within the various roles of the pain medicine specialist – Medical Expert, Communicator, Collaborator, Leader and Manager, Health Advocate and Professional. The Scholar role is not directly reviewed during this activity. An extract of this section of the FPM curriculum is provided in the future on the College website. The reviewer may find it useful as a reference to refresh on the scope of each role and to give more specific feedback.

9. At the end of the feedback discussion, the reviewer should prompt the participant to consider two or three elements that they might change or improve as a result of reflecting on their practice. Further, the reviewer may ask the participant what professional development activities they plan to participate in over the next 12 months and potential additional or alternate activities that coincide with any learning needs highlighted in the peer review.

RECORDING THIS CPD ACTIVITY

Completion of this activity can be recorded on the CPD portfolio system.

Fellows will be asked to enter the following information:

- Start and end date along with total hours.
- A copy of the peer review of practice form used and a completed Peer Review of Practice Agreement form.
- Name and contact details of reviewer.

If a participant is selected to be involved in the random audit of CPD activities, the participant must provide evidence of the review. The agreement signed by both parties should be scanned and uploaded to the CPD portfolio system.

The reviewer may be contacted by the College to verify that the activity took place.