

	Significant improvement required	Addressed, though some improvement required	Satisfactorily Addressed	Not applicable
ANALYSIS OF THE EVIDENCE – INTERNAL VALIDITY (QUALITY OF THE STUDIES)				
Appraisal of included studies				
Appropriate checklist tool used				
Grading of studies				
Comments re: potential errors of studies				
Included papers and appropriateness for question				
Statistical analysis				
Analyses made on subgroups				
CONCLUSION – EXTERNAL VALIDITY (APPLYING RESULTS TO CLINICAL PRACTICE)				
Question answered by included studies				
Conclusion/ recommendation				
Evidence in relation to the recommendation				
Relevance of conclusion to local population				

4 FEEDBACK AND REFLECTION

DEPARTMENTAL SCHOLAR ROLE TUTOR TO COMPLETE:	
Examples of what was done well <i>Example: You topic was well-focused by a specific and relevant question as you applied the PICO approach to build your topic question.</i>	
Areas that need improvement and action <i>Example: Provide more detail on the explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria of your literature search.</i>	
TRAINEE TO COMPLETE:	
Trainee reflection and comments <i>Example: When analysing the evidence, checklists such as PRISMA and STROBE provided significant guidance in assessing the validity and strength of study conclusions.</i>	
Trainee action plan <i>Example: Next time, I will ensure the evidence from the studys reviewed matches the recommendation from my assessment.</i>	

Trainees are not required to send this completed evaluation form to the College but must retain for any future reference.

5 NAMES AND SIGNATURES

Departmental scholar role tutor (or nominee) name: _____ Signature: _____

Trainee name: _____ Signature: _____