

PS62BP Position statement on cultural competence and cultural safety Background Paper 2024

Short title: Cultural competence and cultural safety BP

1. Introduction

The populations of Australia and Aotearoa New Zealand are diverse, representing people from many different backgrounds and cultures. Patients from different cultures experience health inequities, and the Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists' (ANZCA's) statement on cultural competence and cultural safety (PS62) seeks to challenge clinicians to address aspects of the delivery of health care that contribute to these inequities and strive to deliver culturally competent and safe care. As such, the statement endeavours to raise awareness of the impact of cultural diversity in the healthcare setting and to assist doctors to deliver culturally competent and safe care.

2. Background

- 2.1 PS62, written in 2017, was due for routine review. Furthermore, revision of the statement was required due to the recent evolution in concepts of cultural competence and safety in health care, including the following developments:
 - 2.1.1 Publication of ANZCA's Reconciliation Action Plan supporting progress to reconciliation with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples,
 - 2.1.2 Te Kaunihera Rata o Aoteraroa Medical Council of New Zealand statement requiring all doctors to meet cultural safety standards,ⁱⁱ
 - 2.1.3 Publication by Te Kaunihera of Ngā Kāreti Rata o Aotearoa Council of Medical Colleges New Zealand of a cultural training plan for vocational medicine in Aotearoa, iii
 - 2.1.4 Increasing government recognition of the need to address health inequities related to the LGBTQIA+ community.iv

3. Review of issues

3.1 Evolution in definitions of and concepts around culture competence and cultural safety

There is a plethora of recent literature examining culture competence, and related elements including culture safety, cultural sensitivity, cultural humility, and intersectionality. Where there were conflicting definitions in the literature of these elements, the PS62 Document Development Group (DDG) favoured the approaches taken by Australian and New Zealand authors and the ratified and endorsed definitions used by the national medical councils.

Recent literature has focused more closely on concepts of cultural safety as distinct from cultural competence. Although both are of prime importance, this evolution informed modifying the title of PS62 to include cultural safety.

3.2 Broad range of cultures

One of the earliest proponents of cultural safety in health care was Irihapeti Ramsden, a Māori nurse, anthropologist and writer. **Ramsden* swork focused on the role of cultural safety in improving the health status of New Zealand people 'through the relationship between Māori and the Crown based on the Treaty of Waitangi'. **However*, she advocated for culture to be considered in its broadest sense, and for cultural safety to take account of differences between healthcare provider and patient with respect to ethnicity, age or generation, gender, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, religious or spiritual belief, and disability. **PS62* therefore similarly addresses this broader scope around cultural safety.



Cultural competence requires that clinicians are able to deliver high standards of care to all patients irrespective of their cultural background or beliefs. It includes the recognition that cross-cultural communication may need to extend beyond the patient to include families and networks.

3.3 Māori, First Nation's peoples and Pacific Island people

While the statement addresses all cultures, there are issues specific to the cultures of Māori, First Nation's peoples and Pacific Island people that are relevant to health care and health inequities. The College aligns with the UN Declaration of Indigenous Peoples^x and acknowledges the rights of the first peoples of Australia, Aotearoa New Zealand and the Pacific Islands. The impact of colonisation on the health status of these peoples is recognised, and this document promotes the need for awareness of this matter.

3.4 Clinician's culture

Clinicians come from varied backgrounds, which may influence their interaction with other cultures. Selfawareness on the part of clinicians is central to ensuring they bring respect, open-mindedness and sensitivity to their care of patients, regardless of cultural differences.

3.5 Multi-level considerations of cultural competence and safety

Recent literature highlights that ensuring both patient care and healthcare environments are culturally safe requires focus at the level of government, healthcare organisations, departments and individual practitioners. PS62 has therefore incorporated additional considerations beyond the health practitioner level, including as they relate to the healthcare workforce.

There are particular considerations pertinent to different cultural groups, and PS62 is not intended to be an exhaustive manual or tool kit of requirements covering all cultural groups listed. Rather, the statement aims to draw together the overarching principles relevant to all cultures, illustrating many of them with specific examples drawn from different cultural groups.

3.6 Trauma-informed care

Historical definitions of health related trauma have focused either on physical observable events (for example, injuries resulting from a motor vehicle accident), or on life-threatening events or circumstances that cause lasting adverse effects on mental well-being, xi However, more recent concepts of trauma have informed consideration of trauma-informed care in PS62.

One such approach describes trauma as:

...the psychological and neurobiological effects of circumstances or events, primarily interpersonal and often sustained or cumulative; incorporating physical, sexual and psychological abuse of all kinds as well as dynamics of power and vulnerability.'xii

Another describes experiences that cause feelings of 'neglect, betrayal, confusion, loss of identity, ostracism, rejection, loss of self-respect and existential meaning'.xi Many definitions reference trauma as being an experience that overwhelms an individual.xiii Trauma can be intergenerational, and experienced at an individual or collective level.xiii

Addressing trauma in health care is an important part of supporting people by orienting care towards understanding, recognising and responding to trauma. It considers people's symptoms, responses and behaviours in the context of their past experiences, and emphasises physical, emotional and psychological safety for patients and health care staff. Care should seek to prevent re-traumatisation.

4. Principles

The statement lists the principles that should be applied to all cross-cultural interactions, and recommends that they should be considered in the context of other guidelines and frameworks. The following principles have been considered in some detail:



4.1 Respect and understanding

This is based on trust and the statement provides insight into the various influences that may be active within communities.

4.2 Culturally appropriate communication

This identifies barriers to communication and simple measures to address these.

4.3 Patient-centred practice

This focuses on the individual needs and avoiding generalisations based on any cultural groups. It also addresses the balance between cultural safety and clinical safety.

4.4 Partnership

This clarifies the relationship between doctors and patients and the need to ensure understanding and address unexpressed concerns. It also highlights the healthcare environment, which consists of a team including colleagues, other health practitioners, and staff. Cultural competence and cultural safety must extend to include the working environment.

It must be emphasised that cultural competence does not demand forsaking quality of patient care in the face of perceived conflicts. On the contrary, care and communication delivered in a culturally competent manner and tailored to individual needs will contribute to the better understanding by patients, irrespective of their cultural backgrounds, and enhance their acceptance of clinical advice and care.

5. Summary

With patient populations increasingly representing a broad range of cultural backgrounds, clinicians can improve the delivery of health care through an awareness of the differing needs of their patients.

The accompanying statement defines cultural competence and cultural safety, identifies the elements contributing to competence and safety, and communicates the expected standards.

x United Nations. United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. 2007.

ⁱ Australia and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists. ANZCA Reconciliation Action Plan. 2023. Available from: https://www.anzca.edu.au/safety-advocacy/indigenous-health/reconciliation-action-plan. Accessed 3 July 2024.

ii Medical Council of New Zealand. Statement on Cultural Safety. October 2019. Available from: https://www.mcnz.org.nz/our-standards/current-standards/cultural-safety/. Accessed 3 July 2024.

Te Kaunihera o Ngā Kāreti Rata o Aotearoa Council of Medical Colleges New Zealand. Cultural Safety Training Plan for Vocational Medicine in Aotearoa. 2023.

W Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care. Pathway to Better Health for LGBTIQA+ Communities. Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care. 1 March 2023. Available from: https://www.health.gov.au/ministers/the-hon-mark-butler-mp/media/pathway-to-better-health-for-lgbtiqa-communities; NSW Government. NSW LGBTIQ+ Health Strategy 2022-2027. 2022.

v Lock M, Williams M, Lloyd-Haynes A, Burmeister O, Came H, Deravin L, et al. Are Cultural Safety Definitions Culturally Safe? A Review of 42 Cultural Safety Definitions in an Australian Cultural Concept Soup. 2021. Research Square. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1179330/v1. Accessed 3 July 2024.

vi Kingsley J, Berkman E, Derrington S. The Disruptive Power of Intersectionality. 2021. The American Journal of Bioethics. 21(9): 28.

vii Mukerjee R, Wesp L, Singer R. Cultural Safety Framework for LGBTQIA+ Communities. Springer Publishing Company. 2021.

viii Papps E, Ramsden I. Cultural Safety in Nursing: The New Zealand Experience. 1996. International Journal for Quality in Health Care. 8(5):491.

ix Ibid viii.

xi RACGP. Trauma-informed care in general practice. In: Abuse and violence - Working with our patients in general practice. 2022. Available from: https://www.racgp.org.au/getattachment/4ab6102c-67d9-4440-9398-a3ae759164ef/Abuse-and-violence-Working-with-our-patients-in-general-practice.aspx Accessed 3 July 2024.

xii Isobel S. Trauma informed care: a radical shift or basic good practice? Australas Psychiatry. 2016 Dec;24(6):589–91.



NSW Health. Integrated Trauma-Informed Care Framework: My story, my health, my future. St Leonards, NSW; 2023. Available from: https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/patients/trauma/Publications/itic-framework.pdf Accessed 3 July 2024.

Document development group

A/Prof Elizabeth Hessian - Lead Dr Peter Roessler Dr Michelle Mulligan Dr Sharon McGregor Dr Roma Steele Dr Amran Dhillon Dr Jack Hill Dr Amrita Prasad

Professional documents of the Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists (ANZCA) are intended to apply wherever anaesthesia is administered and perioperative medicine practised within Australia and New Zealand. It is the responsibility of each practitioner to have express regard to the particular circumstances of each case, and the application of these ANZCA documents in each case. It is recognised that there may be exceptional situations (for example, some emergencies) in which the interests of patients override the requirement for compliance with some or all of these ANZCA documents. Each document is prepared in the context of the entire body of the college's professional documents, and should be interpreted in this way.

ANZCA professional documents are reviewed from time to time, and it is the responsibility of each practitioner to ensure that he or she has obtained the current version which is available from the college website (www.anzca.edu.au). The professional documents have been prepared having regard to the information available at the time of their preparation, and practitioners should therefore take into account any information that may have been published or has become available subsequently.

While ANZCA endeavours to ensure that its professional documents are as current as possible at the time of their preparation, it takes no responsibility for matters arising from changed circumstances or information or material which may have become available subsequently.

Promulgated: 2017 Current document: July 2024 Links reviewed: July 2024

© Copyright 2024 – Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists. All rights reserved.

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from ANZCA. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Chief Executive Officer, Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists, 630 St Kilda Road, Melbourne, Victoria 3004, Australia. Email: ceoanzca@anzca.edu.au

ANZCA website: www.anzca.edu.au