Policy for the development and review of professional documents

1. INTRODUCTION
The professional documents (hereafter “the documents”) of the Faculty of Pain Medicine (FPM) of the Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists (ANZCA) (hereafter referred to as the "Faculty") describe the stance of the Faculty on matters concerning or related to the practice of pain medicine.

2. PURPOSE
To ensure that the development of Faculty professional documents is responsive to an identified need, widely researched, contemporaneous and evidence based where possible or consensus based, where not.

3. SCOPE
This policy is intended to apply to the development of new and review of existing Faculty professional documents.

It is not intended to apply to endorsement of externally produced guidelines, which will be reviewed by the Professional Standards Committee (PSC) in a manner that is consistent with the principles of this policy. A recommendation for their adoption (or otherwise) will then be made to the Faculty Board (hereafter “Board”) via Professional Affairs Executive Committee (PAEC).

4. PRINCIPLES

4.1 The Faculty aims to promote the highest standards of patient care, including quality and safety, based on available evidence and expert consensus. The Faculty promulgates documents on those issues relevant to the scope of Pain Medicine for which a clear need is perceived. On occasion the Faculty may identify areas where a more detailed, systematic statement is desirable.

4.2 Professional documents are the responsibility of the Board and as such reflect the view of the Board at that time.

4.3 PSC has the delegated authority from the Board to oversee the process of development and review of Faculty professional documents. This includes consultation with internal and external stakeholders and other interested parties as relevant. Co-badged documents will be developed and reviewed with input from the relevant organisations, with the process determined by the Board.

4.4 Documents comprise a foreground statement that summarises the Faculty's stance on the matter and a background paper that explains the basis for conclusions made. Selected documents with immediate public relevance will include a plain language synopsis (see Appendix A – Guidance for preparing a plain language synopsis).

4.5 All professional document components (the foreground statement, plain language synopsis, and background paper) will be available on the publicly-accessible Faculty website.
5. PROCESS OF DEVELOPMENT OF NEW PROFESSIONAL DOCUMENTS

5.1 A request for development of a new professional document should be directed to the Faculty in the first instance, accompanied by an explanation of need and benefit.

5.2 The Board, through the executive / PAEC, determines the need for the development of a professional document and provides the strategic direction.

5.3 The criteria to be applied in the decision to develop a new professional document include but are not limited to the following:
   - There is alignment of the proposed document with the strategic priorities and vision of FPM and ANZCA.
   - The subject of the document is of relevance to clinical practice, especially with respect to patient safety and quality of patient outcomes.
   - The issue is:
     - not adequately addressed in existing literature and/or adaptation to the Australian and New Zealand context is required; and/or
     - of importance across the College; and/or
     - affects the reputation of the Faculty and/or of specialist pain medicine physicians.
   - The document may make a significant impact.

5.4 Responsibility for development of the professional document is delegated to the PSC.

5.5 The DPA FPM (hereafter “DPA”) is the project manager for the document, under the direction of the PSC. The functions of the DPA in this context include:
   - Establishment of a document development group (DDG) which will usually comprise 4-8 members with recognised expertise in the subject following a process of EOI. As much as possible, there should be representation of Fellows from a number of Australian regions and New Zealand, and representation of Fellows within five years of Fellowship.
   - Liaison with appropriate individuals or groups.
   - Establishment of timelines and milestones.
   - Editing of the background paper to ensure alignment with the Faculty’s mission statement, clarity of intent, and consistency within and between other documents. Such editing should also ensure that the document is applicable, wherever possible, to all jurisdictions in Australia and New Zealand.
   - Recommendations regarding the foreground statement and plain language synopsis.

5.6 The functions of the DDG include but are not limited to:
   - Identification of relevant evidence for review.
   - Critical review of this evidence, reflecting expert group members’ experience and expertise, and taking into account the wider economic and medico-political environment in Australia and New Zealand.
   - Identification of other relevant experts for consultation.
   - Development of expert consensus.
   - Contribution to writing the background paper and formulation of the foreground statement.
   - Ensuring that the proposed content is consistent with other professional documents, regulations or other college policies.

5.7 The DDG is covered by ANZCA and Faculty corporate policies. Administrative support will be provided, usually by staff members supporting the relevant committee. The DDG should conduct as much of its work as possible by email and videoconference. A face to face
meeting may be required at least once, typically for one day. Funding requires prior approval by the Executive Director, FPM.

5.8 The background paper should include:
   5.8.1 The justification for the document (purpose and benefit).
   5.8.2 A concise review of the issues considered, with sufficient discussion to allow readers to understand the basis for and limitations of all recommendations.
   5.8.3 Documentation of literature search strategies and/or methods of expert consensus development.
   5.8.4 Lists of publications and other documents reviewed.
   5.8.5 Names of all those consulted or otherwise involved in document development.
   5.8.6 Other information as appropriate.

5.9 The draft professional document components (foreground statement, background paper, and plain language synopsis if required) will be submitted to PSC which may approve these drafts, return them with an indication of areas requiring further work or reject them.

5.10 PSC and/or PAEC will decide whether further consultation is necessary. The community representative on PAEC will provide advice regarding the plain language synopsis (if required).

5.11 After acceptance by PAEC, the Faculty office will circulate for comment the draft professional document components to relevant FPM and ANZCA committees and the ANZCA Policy Unit. Feedback will be collated by the Faculty office, and forwarded to the DPA for review and revision, as required.

5.12 Should significant revision or clarification be recommended by the DPA following the consultation in 5.11, determination by PSC as the oversight committee will be required before submission of a definitive document to Board via PAEC.

5.13 Following Board approval, the Faculty office will coordinate dissemination of the definitive professional document components via the Faculty website. The Faculty office may collaborate with ANZCA Policy and Communications unit to disseminate the foreground statement and/or plain language synopsis externally, in the public interest. The documents will be considered to be in a pilot phase for 6 months.

5.14 Feedback on any document should be directed to the PSC. The DPA and PSC (if relevant), will consider all feedback received during the pilot period. This will inform drafting of the final versions of all professional documents submitted to Board for final approval.

6. PROCESS OF REVIEW OF EXISTING PROFESSIONAL DOCUMENTS

   6.1 Documents should be reviewed approximately every five years, but this may occur more or less frequently at the discretion of Board.

   6.2 The process by which documents are reviewed should follow steps 5.4 to 5.13 above, except that the DPA may recommend the final approval of minor updates by PAEC, rather than Board. Where there has been a significant change to the document, final approval by Board is required.

   6.3 Where possible, the review group should include at least one member of the original DDG.

RELATED ANZCA DOCUMENTS
PP01 BP (A) Policy for the Development and Review of Professional Documents Background Paper
FACULTY OF PAIN MEDICINE PROFESSIONAL DOCUMENTS

POLICY – A document that formally states principle, plan and/or course of action that is prescriptive and mandatory.

STATEMENT – A document that describes where the college stands on a particular issue. This may include areas that lack clarity or where opinions vary. A statement is not prescriptive.

GUIDELINE – A document that offers advice on a particular subject, ideally based on best practice recommendations and information, available evidence and/or expert consensus. A guideline is not prescriptive.

This document has been prepared having regard to general circumstances, and it is the responsibility of the practitioner to have express regard to the particular circumstances of each case, and the application of this policy document in each case.

Professional documents are reviewed from time to time, and it is the responsibility of the practitioner to ensure that the practitioner has obtained the current version. Professional documents have been prepared having regard to the information available at the time of their preparation, and the practitioner should therefore have regard to any information, research or material which may have been published or become available subsequently.

Whilst the College and Faculty endeavours to ensure that documents are as current as possible at the time of their preparation, they take no responsibility for matters arising from changed circumstances or information or material which may have become available subsequently.

Promulgated: 2018
Reviewed: 2020, 2021
Date of Current Document: June 2021

© Copyright 2021 – Faculty of Pain Medicine, Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists. All rights reserved.

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from ANZCA. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Chief Executive Officer, Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists, 630 St Kilda Road, Melbourne, Victoria 3004, Australia.

Website: http://www.anzca.edu.au
APPENDIX A – Guidance for preparing a plain language synopsis

**Purpose**
*To render accessible to the general public information contained within the professional documents that might be considered material to the public interest.*

**Length**
The synopsis needs to strike a balance between being engagingly brief, and yet offering sufficient background and detail to enable the public to understand new information and interpret it in context.

**Language**
Prospectively, the document development group (DDG) should consider guidelines for writing using plain language – see for example [https://guides.service.gov.au/content-guide/writing-style/#plain-english](https://guides.service.gov.au/content-guide/writing-style/#plain-english). After drafting, the DDG should apply a readability-checker tool before progressing the plain language synopsis for approvals and consultation – see for example Hemingway (free version: [https://hemingwayapp.com/](https://hemingwayapp.com/)).

**Contents**
The synopsis may contain:
- a plain language ‘translation’ of the foreground statement; and
- essential background necessary to understand what is known already and what is new; and
- plain language references considered to be of sufficiently high quality and relevance to the document.

**Consultation**
The DDG is encouraged to invite the PAEC Community Representative to offer input into development of the plain language synopsis and/or feedback on the draft before it is progressed for approvals and consultation. Note that documents remain strictly confidential (within DDG, PSC, PAEC) until after Board approves the draft for pilot and external consultation.