



## Short title: Acute pain

### 1. Purpose

- 1.1 To advance the standards of care related to management of acute pain.
- 1.2 To develop a framework for provision of high-quality management of acute pain.

### 2. Scope

This document is intended to apply to specialists of the college (ANZCA and FPM), trainees, and SIMGs managing acute pain. It may be used as a reference by acute pain services as well as all doctors prescribing analgesia for acute pain within hospital settings for acute pain.

It is intended to apply to the management of all forms of acute pain including non-surgical acute pain.

### 3. Background

Effective and safe management of acute pain requires individualised treatment regimens which are informed by patients and their carers. It is also dependent on developing and maintaining close liaisons with all involved staff and ensuring that they are trained and skilled.

Formal protocols and guidelines relevant to the circumstances of each institution are of assistance, and formal quality assurance programs to regularly evaluate effectiveness of acute pain management are to be encouraged.

In individualising patient care it is recognised that some patients, or some groups of patients, may have special needs that require particular attention, for example, older, paediatric, pregnant or postpartum patients, patients with sleep-disordered breathing or renal or hepatic impairment, patients who are opioid-tolerant or have a substance use disorder, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People, Māori, patients with cognitive behavioural and/or sensory impairments, and non-English speaking people.

Consequently, clinicians may decide to diverge from the recommendations in this position statement to accommodate these situations. However, any decisions to alter management should be guided by evidence, or where this may be deficient, then be guided by consensus. Evidence-based information related to management of acute pain in these patient groups and situations is included in the latest edition of *Acute Pain Management: Scientific Evidence*, Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists and Faculty of Pain Medicine. <https://www.anzca.edu.au/safety-advocacy/advocacy/college-publications>.

### 4. Framework for acute pain management

#### 4.1 Education

- 4.1.1 Education regarding acute pain management should be part of the medical undergraduate core curriculum. Knowledge should be supplemented at the postgraduate level for all ANZCA and FPM trainees and other medical staff. Anaesthetists have a key role to play in these education programs.

- 4.1.2 Nursing staff have a key role in the management of acute pain. Their ongoing education and accreditation are essential. Pharmacists and other associated allied health practitioners, including physiotherapists, also have key roles and ongoing education is likewise important.
- 4.1.3 Patient attitudes and beliefs have been shown to modify pain perceptions, analgesic requirements, and response to analgesic therapies, all of which can impact on patient outcomes. Patient and carer education can, therefore, positively influence the outcome of acute pain management. Such education should include setting the expectation of using pain relief to enable good functional recovery as opposed to absence of pain.
- 4.1.4 The nature of our interactions (verbal and non-verbal language) can influence a patient's experience of pain. For example, language that promotes an appropriate sense of safety and recovery can positively influence a patient's pain experience, whilst language that promotes a sense of danger or damage can negatively influence a patient's pain experience.

## **4.2 Assessment of analgesic efficacy and adverse effects**

Regular assessments of adequacy of analgesia, monitoring, and documentation of any adverse effects or complications should be undertaken to safely and effectively individualise treatment regimens.

- Efficacy of analgesia should include assessment of patient function such as Functional activity scores (FAS) as well as an assessment of pain intensity. Adjustments to analgesic regimens should not be made based on pain scores (assessed both at rest and with activity) alone.
- Unexpected levels of pain despite treatment, or pain that suddenly increases, may signal the development of a new medical or surgical issue, or be the result of pain that is not opioid-responsive. In the latter scenario, alternate analgesia and/or optimisation of non-pharmacological interventions should be considered.
- Anxiety, mood, fear and past experiences contribute to the pain experience. These factors should be acknowledged and inform non-pharmacological interventions. Additional opioids to treat these pain drivers should be avoided.
- All patients given opioids are at risk of developing opioid-induced ventilatory impairment (OIVI) and should be monitored as summarised in the accompanying Analgesic Stewardship appendix. At a minimum, this includes regular assessment of any patient's level of sedation. Factors that increase the risk of OIVI need to be recognised and measures taken to mitigate risk where possible. Instructions for the management of OIVI should be available.
- In addition to OIVI other risks should be considered when discharging patients who have been prescribed opioids for short-term ongoing management of acute pain. These include persistent postdischarge opioid use, opioid misuse and diversion. Factors that increase these risks need to be recognised and measures taken to mitigate them, where possible.

## **4.3 Pharmacological therapies**

- 4.3.1 The selection of medications, techniques, and routes for their administration should be evidence-based. Medications that may be used include opioids, paracetamol, non-

steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, local anaesthetics and ketamine, as well as adjuvant agents such as antidepressants, gabapentinoids and alpha-2 agonists. Other medications may be required for the treatment of analgesia-related side effects.

- 4.3.2 For many analgesic medications, optimisation of therapeutic effect and minimisation of side effects, should be achieved through careful titration and individualisation of dose regimens.
- 4.3.3 Multimodal analgesia aims to reduce opioid-related adverse effects by reducing opioid requirements. Medications employed as part of multimodal analgesia regimens should not increase the risk of adverse effects or interactions with other analgesic medications. The use of non-opioid analgesic and adjuvant medications and 'opioid-free analgesic techniques' will not avoid the need for opioids in all patients, either in hospital or after discharge, and may not lead to a reduction in patient harm.
- 4.3.4 Long-acting opioid preparations include slow-release opioids, methadone and opioid patches. Avoid routine prescription of long-acting opioids in the management of acute pain unless there is a demonstrated need, close monitoring is available, and a cessation plan is in place.
- 4.3.5 Analgesic stewardship programs are recommended. More detail about analgesic stewardship is included in the accompanying appendix.
- 4.3.6 Implementation of established guidelines and order sets (including in electronic medical record systems) are recommended. Such guidelines and order sets will often combine the medication prescription, monitoring requirements and instructions for nurses and midwives to follow should a patient develop a medication-related adverse effect. These are especially important for some specialised analgesia delivery techniques which may involve use of complex equipment and require greater medical and nursing knowledge and expertise.

Anaesthetists initiating these forms of analgesia may delegate the management of the techniques to another trained and skilled medical practitioner, or registered nurse or midwife, or to a pain service, provided that these personnel have received appropriate training and on the proviso that provided the anaesthetist is satisfied with the competence of the person(s) to whom management has been delegated. Such techniques include but are not limited to:

- Patient-controlled analgesia (PCA).
- Opioid infusions in selected patients where PCA is not appropriate.
- Epidural and intrathecal analgesia.
- Other major regional analgesic procedures.
- Continuous infusions of local anaesthetics and ketamine, and some other analgesic medications (e.g. Entonox<sup>®</sup> and methoxyflurane).

Guidelines and order sets are also recommended for immediate-release opioids ordered on an 'as needed' basis.

#### **4.4 Delivery of safe and effective acute pain management**

- 4.4.1 Hospitals and health facilities should ensure there is the necessary governance, healthcare worker training, staffing, and appropriate clinical documentation wherever opioid medication and specialised analgesia delivery techniques are used.
- 4.4.2 Consideration should be given to a multidisciplinary approach in the management of acute pain, such as with an acute pain service, especially where patients have complex medical or psychological comorbidities.
- 4.4.3 Hospitals that are accredited for training by the college (ANZCA and FPM) are required to have an Acute Pain Service.
- 4.4.4 Acute pain services should include:
- Staffing by qualified and trained clinicians, particularly anaesthetists, nurses and midwives with special expertise in acute pain management.
  - Close liaison with pharmacists and other associated allied health practitioners
  - Close collaboration with surgical, medical and other specialties involved in any patient's overall care.
  - Development of specific policies and protocols for recognition of adverse reactions, as well as their treatment based on ANZCA/FPM guidelines.
  - Regular (in most cases at least daily) reviews of all patients under their care and liaison with relevant medical nursing and pharmacy staff.
  - Provision of consultation services for patients with acute or acute-on-chronic pain.
  - Availability of after-hours acute pain review services with consultant/ specialist level input available.
  - Involvement in management plans for analgesia after discharge, where applicable.

#### **4.5 Quality assurance**

- 4.5.1 Regular audits should be instituted to assess effectiveness of treatments and incidence of side effects and adverse events. This should include records of patient demographics, analgesic medications and techniques used, assessment of analgesic efficacy, and any adverse effects that occur.
- 4.5.2 The outcomes of quality assurance activities, and any adverse events from pain management should be overseen by an appropriately qualified specialist and/or appropriate hospital committee and reviewed, with the mechanism to deal with adverse events clearly identified.
- 4.5.3 Research, education and participation in trials is encouraged where possible.

#### **Further reading**

Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists and Faculty of Pain Medicine. Acute Pain Management:

Scientific Evidence. Latest edition. Melbourne: Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists and Faculty of Pain Medicine. From: <https://www.anzca.edu.au/safety-advocacy/advocacy/college-publications>

Also see references listed at the end of the accompanying appendix.

*Professional documents of the Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists (ANZCA) are intended to apply wherever anaesthesia is administered and perioperative medicine practised within Australia and New Zealand. It is the responsibility of each practitioner to have express regard to the particular circumstances of each case, and the application of these ANZCA documents in each case. It is recognised that there may be exceptional situations (for example, some emergencies) in which the interests of patients override the requirement for compliance with some or all of these ANZCA documents. Each document is prepared in the context of the entire body of the college's professional documents, and should be interpreted in this way.*

*ANZCA professional documents are reviewed from time to time, and it is the responsibility of each practitioner to ensure that he or she has obtained the current version which is available from the college website ([www.anzca.edu.au](http://www.anzca.edu.au)). The professional documents have been prepared having regard to the information available at the time of their preparation, and practitioners should therefore take into account any information that may have been published or has become available subsequently.*

*Whilst ANZCA endeavours to ensure that its professional documents are as current as possible at the time of their preparation, it takes no responsibility for matters arising from changed circumstances or information or material which may have become available subsequently.*

Promulgated:	2000
Reviewed:	2007
Republished:	2013
Current document:	July 2022

© Copyright 2022 – Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists. All rights reserved.

*This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from ANZCA. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Chief Executive Officer, Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists, 630 St Kilda Road, Melbourne, Victoria 3004, Australia. Email: [ceo@anzca.edu.au](mailto:ceo@anzca.edu.au)*

ANZCA website: [www.anzca.edu.au](http://www.anzca.edu.au)

## **PS41(G) Appendix 1: Analgesic Stewardship**

### **1. Aims**

This document<sup>1</sup> is intended to add to the information in PS41 and summarise current thinking related to analgesic stewardship. It may be used as a reference by acute pain services as well as all doctors prescribing analgesia for acute pain within hospital settings. The principles apply to the management of all forms of acute pain, including from non-surgical aetiologies.

### **2. Analgesic Stewardship**

Opioid stewardship has been defined as ‘coordinated interventions designed to improve, monitor, and evaluate the use of opioids in order to support and protect human health’ (ISMP Canada).

Analgesic stewardship includes consideration of opioids as well as other analgesic and adjuvant medications and strives for a balance between analgesia that is sufficient to facilitate patient recovery and restoration of function, and minimisation of analgesia-related harms to the patient and others.

It is recognised that non-pharmacological measures are also important in the management of acute pain. However, discussion about these options is outside the scope of this document. More information is available from *Acute Pain Management: Scientific Evidence* (Schug et al, 2020).

Safe and effective management of acute pain requires selection of an optimal treatment regimen which is then modified as needed for the individual patient (e.g. for age or comorbidities), based on assessments of adequacy of analgesia and early recognition and treatment of any adverse effects. Strategies that aim to reduce the risk of both short- and long-term harms from these medications are important.

Education of all staff involved is needed, but as one component only of all interventions aimed at improving opioid-related safety. In the hierarchy of risk-reduction strategies, education alone is one of the weakest and needs to be supplemented by more effective, but harder to implement, high-level system-focused strategies such as forcing functions, barriers, fail-safes and automation, as well as medium-level strategies including standardisation and protocols, warnings, alerts, reminders and checklists (ISMP, 2020). Therefore, while individual practitioners play an important role, effective opioid stewardship needs to involve the healthcare system as a whole at both local and national levels (Levy et al, 2021).

For more detail on strategies that may be used to promote opioid stewardship within hospitals and the community see Levy et al (Levy et al, 2021).

Analgesic stewardship includes consideration of the following stages of patient care: (Levy et al, 2021)

- Prior to admission
- During admission
- At the time of discharge

### **3. Adequacy of analgesia**

Pain is a very individual and subjective experience. Patient experiences of pain can be affected by a number of psychological, cultural, social and environmental factors. Predictors of poorer postoperative pain control (higher pain scores and sometimes higher opioid requirements) in the early postoperative period include younger age, female sex, smoking, preoperative anxiety and depression, pain catastrophising, preoperative pain and preoperative opioid use (Yang et al, 2019; Armstrong et al, 2020; Horn et al, 2020; Schug et al, 2020; Levy et al, 2021).

---

<sup>1</sup> The key reference used in this Appendix is Schug SA, Palmer GM, Scott DA et al (2020) *Acute Pain Management Scientific Evidence* 5th edition (APMSE 5e) Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists and Faculty of Pain Medicine. Melbourne, Australia. <https://www.anzca.edu.au/safety-advocacy/advocacy/college-publications>, as future editions will update the evidence as needed. To minimise the number of references listed, other citations are primarily papers published after those included in APMSE 5e (mainly reviews with many references rather than references related to a single topic) and selected guidelines and websites.



In the mid-1990s, the ‘Pain as the 5th Vital Sign’ campaign emphasised the need for pain to be measured and recorded on a regular basis, as was done for a patient’s vital signs (Schug et al, 2020). Commonly used pain measurement tools included unidimensional pain rating scales which were used in many institutions to determine effectiveness of analgesia and guide opioid titration. The aim was that unrelieved pain or high pain scores would raise a ‘red flag’ and prompt an escalation of care, including unrestricted titration of opioids doses.

However, ‘chasing’ unidimensional pain scores with opioids and raising expectations (in patients, carers and staff) that arbitrarily defined ‘acceptable’ levels of pain, or even elimination of pain, can always be achieved, can lead to prescription of an excessive amount of opioid and increase the risks of opioid-induced ventilatory impairment (OIVI), persistent postdischarge opioid use (PPOU), and opioid misuse and diversion after discharge (Levy et al, 2021).

Unidimensional pain scores are only one aspect of assessing a patient’s pain and their response to treatment. Analgesic medications, especially opioids, should never be titrated according to a patient’s pain scores alone. More important is an assessment of how acute pain is affecting the patient’s physical function (Chou et al, 2016;Joint Commission, 2017;Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021). Functional limitation because of pain means that re-evaluation of therapy is required.

One example of a score used to assess patient function is the Functional Activity Scale – Table 1 (Scott & McDonald, 2008;Schug et al, 2020).

<b>Table 1: Functional Activity Scale*</b>	
A	No limitation: the patient is able to undertake the activity** without limitation due to pain
B	Mild limitation: the patient is able to undertake the activity but experiences moderate to severe pain
C	Severe limitation: the patient is unable to complete the activity due to pain
<p>* Adapted from Scott DA &amp; McDonald WM (2008) Assessment, Measurement and History. In: Textbook of <i>Clinical Pain Management: Acute Pain</i> 2e. Macintyre PE, Rowbotham D and Walker S (eds). London, Hodder Arnold.</p> <p>** Activity assessed is relevant activity related to the cause of the ‘new’ acute pain – e.g. ability to take deep breaths and cough after abdominal surgery or injury, or to flex knee after knee surgery</p>	

To assist with the patient’s ability to achieve functional goals, timing of the administration of an ‘as needed’ immediate-release opioid dose so that near maximum effect of that dose occurs at the time of the designated activity (e.g. physiotherapy, walking), may be beneficial – ‘activity-based analgesia’.

Unexpected levels of pain despite treatment, or pain that suddenly increases, may signal the development of a new medical or surgical issue, or be the result of pain that is not opioid-responsive, and alternate analgesia should be considered.

This does not mean that pain scores should be abandoned, but that **pain score trajectories** (an assessment of pain resolution over time) in the immediate postoperative period, rather than the actual pain score at any one time, may give a better indication of patient progress. A trajectory that is not decreasing (pain is either not resolving or is increasing) could indicate a new or different cause for the pain, for example, development of a postoperative or post-trauma complication, or the presence of non-opioid-responsive pain such as neuropathic pain, or psychological distress (Levy et al, 2021). Patient factors such as lower age, female sex, anxiety and a higher number of pain behaviours rather than type of surgery, are related to the likelihood of patients being in the high pain trajectories; those in the high pain trajectories also have higher postoperative opioid requirements (Vasilopoulos et al, 2021).

Trajectories that do not decrease over the first few days after surgery have been shown to be a predictor of chronic postsurgical pain (Althaus et al, 2018;L’Hermite et al, 2021) and could increase the risk of

PPOU (Shanthanna et al, 2021).

**Opioid dose trajectories** are also good indicators of patient progress. The risk of PPOU is higher in patients whose opioid dose trajectories in the immediate postoperative period are not decreasing (McCarthy et al, 2021).

The presence or absence of side effects from analgesic drugs will also affect what alterations are made to treatment regimens.

#### 4. Potential significant adverse effects: opioids

Potential significant harms related to the use of opioids in acute pain management include those that may occur when the patient is in hospital and those that may arise after discharge. The most important are (Levy et al, 2021):

- Opioid-induced ventilatory impairment (OIVI)
- Persistent postdischarge opioid use (PPOU)
- Opioid misuse and diversion

##### 4.1 Opioid-induced ventilatory impairment

###### 4.1.1 Background

OIVI continues to cause patient harm in the acute pain setting, including hypoxic brain damage and death: the peak risk is within 24 hours of surgery and the majority of events are preventable (Schug et al, 2020).

'OIVI can usually be avoided by careful titration of the dose against effect and careful observation and monitoring' (Schug et al, 2020). This applies to all opioids administered for the management of acute pain, regardless of route or technique of administration.

OIVI may result from three main and interlinked mechanisms: (Macintyre et al, 2011).

- Depression of respiratory drive with a reduction in alveolar ventilation (respiratory rate and/or depth of breathing) – 'central respiratory depression'
- Depression of consciousness (and therefore arousal) – 'sedation'
- Depression of supraglottic airway muscle tone – 'upper airway obstruction'

The true incidence of OIVI in the acute setting is unclear as publications commonly rely on surrogate measures such as respiratory rate and oxygen saturation. Some studies report rates of naloxone administration, but the need for this will depend on the definition used for OIVI in the first place. Respiratory rate and oxygen saturation are *not* direct measures of adequacy of ventilation and there is no good evidence of a reliable correlation with partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood (PaCO<sub>2</sub>) – a direct measure of OIVI (Macintyre et al, 2011).

In some cases where patients have come to harm from OIVI, snoring (due to partial upper airway obstruction) was reported prior to the event. Patients who are snoring should not be assumed to be sleeping normally and their level of sedation should still be assessed on a regular basis.

###### 4.1.2 Risk factors for OIVI

Factors that have been reported to be associated with an increased risk of OIVI can be divided into those that are patient-related (largely non-modifiable) and those that are modifiable.



#### 4.1.2.1 Patient-related risk factors

The most frequently reported patient-related risk factors for OIVI are older age, female gender, sleep disordered breathing (SDB), obesity, renal impairment, pulmonary disease (in particular chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), cardiac disease, diabetes, hypertension, neurologic disease, two or more comorbidities, genetic variations in opioid metabolism, and opioid-tolerant patients (Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021;Garrett et al, 2021). The higher risk of OIVI in opioid-tolerant patients is likely related to differential tolerance to opioids (Hayhurst & Durieux, 2016). These risks factors are reported associations only and cannot show causation.

It is interesting to note that a significant proportion of patients who were shown not to have SDB before surgery developed moderate to severe SDB in the postoperative period when given opioid analgesia (Chung et al, 2015).

Importantly, many patients who come to harm have no identifiable comorbidities that would predict an increased risk of OIVI (Macintyre et al, 2011;Schug et al, 2020). As it is not possible to reliably identify all patients who might come to harm from OIVI, *all patients must be assumed to be at risk*. Any attempts to rely on identification of an 'at-risk' patient will inevitably result in OIVI being missed in other patients.

Therefore, the focus on prevention of harm from OIVI must be on better assessment and monitoring of *all* patients, especially in the high-risk period, and appropriate and rapid responses and interventions when OIVI is detected.

While patient-related factors are largely non-modifiable, it is known that the dose of opioid required to manage acute pain decrease as patient age increases (Macintyre & Jarvis, 1996;Schug et al, 2020). Age-based doses are recommended for the initial opioid prescription in opioid-naïve patients (Therapeutic Guidelines, 2020;Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

#### 4.1.2.2 Modifiable risk factors

Modifiable risk factors include: (Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021).

- Coadministration of sedatives (e.g. benzodiazepines, gabapentinoids, antipsychotics and sedating antihistamines)
- Simultaneous use of multiple opioid agents (this does not include verified doses of opioids taken for management of chronic pain, where the patient has developed a tolerance to and physical dependence on these medications)
- Continuous infusions of opioids
- Initiation of long-acting opioid preparations (including methadone)
- Multiple prescribers
- Inadequate nursing assessments or responses
- Reliance on unidimensional pain scores alone to assess adequacy of analgesia, and chasing' pain scores – that is, titrating opioids to pain scores alone to reduce them to a predetermined acceptable number
- Using opioids for pain that is not opioid-responsive

The risk of OIVI is less with the atypical opioids tramadol, tapentadol and buprenorphine (patches only) (Schug et al, 2020).

#### 4.1.3 Mitigation of harm

As reliable identification of patients who are at increased risk of OIVI is not possible, reducing the risk of OIVI relies on:

- Avoidance of modifiable risk factors where possible (see above)
- Appropriate monitoring with appropriate early escalation of care and appropriate responses when OIVI is first noted

#### 4.1.3.1 Avoidance of modifiable risk factors

See list of modifiable risk factors above.

#### 4.1.3.2 Monitoring and appropriate responses

In acute care hospitals, 'track and trigger' early warning systems are commonly used. Their aim is to improve detection and subsequent management of a deteriorating patient, with timely and appropriate escalation of care. In many published reports of patient deaths resulting from OIVI, undue reliance has been placed on respiratory rate as a unidimensional measure of OIVI, either without formal assessment of patient sedation, or without recognising the significance of excessive sedation (Macintyre et al, 2011;Schug et al, 2020).

#### *Monitoring*

Respiratory rate and oxygen saturation levels are *not* direct measures of adequacy of ventilation (Macintyre et al, 2011).

Respiratory rates of less than 8 or 10 breaths per minute in adults are commonly considered to indicate OIVI, although this is known to be a very unreliable measure. There is no good evidence of a reliable correlation with PaCO<sub>2</sub> – a direct measure of OIVI – and respiratory rate, and some patients can maintain a rate that is within acceptable limits even in the presence of severe OIVI (Macintyre et al, 2011;Schug et al, 2020).

Similarly, low oxygen saturation levels are often used to determine the presence of OIVI, but these too may remain within an acceptable range even in the presence of increased PaCO<sub>2</sub> levels (Macintyre et al, 2011;Schug et al, 2020).

The use of low-flow supplemental oxygen to help prevent hypoxaemia (which may be indicated in some patients depending on factors such as type of surgery or injury and patient comorbidities) makes the measurement of oxygen saturation levels an even less reliable way to detect OIVI; there may also be reasons other than opioids for hypoxaemia (Macintyre et al, 2011;Schug et al, 2020). In addition, hypoxemia is commonly worse while the patient is asleep and may be missed if pulse oximetry is used only intermittently, because the patient is roused during measurement (Sun et al, 2015).

There appears to be a better correlation between a patient's PaCO<sub>2</sub> and level of sedation, and regular assessment of a patient's level of sedation is therefore important in all patients receiving any opioid for management of their acute pain (Macintyre et al, 2011;Chou et al, 2016;Frederickson & Lambrecht, 2018;Schug et al, 2020;Therapeutic Guidelines, 2020). In any patient who is given an opioid, oversedation should be considered to indicate OIVI until proven otherwise, regardless of a patient's respiratory rate or oxygen saturation levels.

*Sedation scores should be assessed repeatedly at intervals that are appropriate to the route of opioid administration* – e.g. for immediate-release opioids administered as PRN doses either orally or by subcutaneous injection, it would be appropriate to do this at the time of administration and then at the time the peak effect of the dose is expected to be seen – about 1 hour (Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

Supplemental oxygen will not mask increasing sedation resulting from opioid-induced CNS depression and, if OIVI is severe, any additional CNS depression due to high carbon

dioxide levels (Macintyre et al, 2011).

The AVPU score (A = alert; V = responds to voice; P = responds to pain, and U = unresponsive), based on the more complex 15-point Glasgow Coma Score, is not able to distinguish between a patient who is 'easy to rouse' and can stay awake and one who is 'easy to rouse but unable to remain awake', and is therefore not sensitive enough to enable to early detection of OIVI.

One example of a commonly used sedation scoring system is in Table 2 (Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

<b>Table 2: Sedation Scores</b>
<p>0 = wide awake</p> <p>1 = easy to rouse</p> <p>2 = easy to rouse but unable to remain awake</p> <p>3 = difficult to rouse</p>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A score of 2 is taken to indicate early OIVI and therefore the aim should be to titrate an opioid so that a patient's sedation score is always less than 2.</li> <li>2. Note that a sedation score (e.g. 'sedation score less than 2') may be specified in the 'Max Dose/24 hrs' in the PRN section of the ACSQHC <i>National Inpatient Medication Chart</i> (NIMC) to indicate the maximum amount to be administered in 24 hrs when prescribing opioids in (Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, 2019).</li> </ol>

It is recommended that a sedation scoring system containing 'S' (meaning the patient is normally asleep but is easy to rouse) is not used as the patient may not be woken properly to have their level of sedation assessed more accurately; they may wake easily, but then either stay awake or have difficulty staying awake, and so the opportunity to recognise and trigger an appropriate intervention before significant patient harm from OIVI has occurred may be lost (Macintyre et al, 2011).

It has been suggested that all postoperative patients prescribed opioids should be monitored using continuous pulse oximetry, with the addition of continuous measurement of carbon dioxide levels (end-tidal or transcutaneous measures) in those patients receiving supplemental oxygen (Gupta & Edwards, 2018). Continuous measurement of a patient's carbon dioxide concentrations is more likely to identify OIVI than continuous pulse oximetry (Lam et al, 2017).

It is acknowledged that provision of continuous electronic monitoring, especially of carbon dioxide levels, for every patient given an opioid for management of acute pain in every Australian and New Zealand hospital may be desirable but is not possible at present. Currently, the ability to measure carbon dioxide is generally limited to settings such as postoperative recovery, intensive care, and some high dependency units.

Even if it was possible to use electronic monitoring equipment for every patient given an opioid for acute pain in every hospital, some patients will be taking opioids at home, maybe for the first time, so some simple clinical observation is still required.

Opioid prescriptions for acute pain management must be linked to monitoring in a robust way, regardless of the route of administration of the opioid. This is important regardless of whether paper or electronic medical record (EMR) systems are used.

*Appropriate responses*

Guidelines for prescription and monitoring need to be accompanied by standardised orders that outline the appropriate responses to each level of sedation (Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

Acute Pain Services usually use ‘standard orders’ when prescribing analgesic techniques such as PCA, epidural or regional analgesia, to maximise the effectiveness of pain relief as well as patient safety. These orders aim to guide selection of medicines and their concentrations, dose and dose intervals, monitoring requirements, choice of equipment, and responses to inadequate or excessive analgesic doses, including excessive sedation and other complications (Schug et al, 2020;Macintyre & Schug, 2021). The development of ‘standard orders’ for the safe prescription and administration of all opioids used in acute pain management, including PRN opioids, is likely to add an extra safety dimension by emphasising monitoring of sedation (at times appropriate to the route of administration) and promoting quicker recognition and treatment of opioid-related side effects. Examples of suggested inclusions in ‘standard orders’, which can be incorporated into EMR systems, are available (Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

## **4.2 Persistent postdischarge opioid use**

### **4.2.1 Background**

Most of the information regarding PPOU comes from the postoperative setting where it has been defined as continuation of opioids prescribed for postoperative pain for longer than 90 days after surgery (Levy et al, 2021). Many studies have shown some patients are still taking an opioid for some years after discharge (Schug et al, 2020). It has been estimated that PPOU may occur in up to a quarter of patients (Stark et al, 2017;Levy et al, 2021).

### **4.2.2 Risk factors for PPOU**

It has been suggested that the risk of PPOU may be higher after certain types of surgical procedures, but this finding is not consistent across studies and patients after all types of surgery must be assumed to be at risk (Levy et al, 2021).

Factors that have been reported to be associated with an increased risk of PPOU can be divided into those that are patient-related and those that are modifiable.

Similar factors have also been associated with an increased risk of PPOU after trauma.

#### **4.2.2.1 Patient-related risk factors**

Patient-related factors that have been associated with a higher risk of PPOU after surgery include psychological comorbidities (anxiety, depression, catastrophic thinking, and post-traumatic stress disorder), preoperative use of benzodiazepines or antidepressants, preoperative tobacco use, alcohol and substance use disorders, pre-existing chronic pain, preadmission opioid use, lower age, female sex, and socioeconomic factors such as lower household income (Lawal et al, 2020;Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021;Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

Some of these factors could be considered modifiable. While this would require appropriate resources and an adequate length of time before surgery, potential benefits have been reported.

For example, one of the strongest predictors of PPOU is pre-existing chronic opioid use, so weaning opioid doses prior to surgery could be of benefit (Levy et al, 2021). Possible further advantages in preadmission opioid weaning are discussed in 6.2.

Patients undergoing more minor surgery appear to be just as vulnerable to PPOU as those undergoing major surgery (Levy et al, 2021).

#### **4.2.2.2 Modifiable risk factors**

Modifiable risk factors for PPOU often relate to prescribing practices and include:

(Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021).

- Long-term preadmission opioid use
- Psychological comorbidities
- Unrealistic expectations
- Reliance on unidimensional pain scores alone to assess adequacy of analgesia and ‘chasing’ pain scores: titrating opioids to pain scores alone to reduce them to a predetermined acceptable number
- Abnormal pain and opioid dose trajectories: trajectories that are not decreasing
- Over-reliance on opioid analgesia – in hospital and on discharge
- Use of slow-release opioid preparations
- Large numbers of tablets on discharge
- Repeat opioid prescriptions
- Lack of deprescribing advice

#### 4.2.3 Mitigation of harm

Reducing the risk of PPOU will rely on:

- Avoidance of modifiable risk factors where possible (see above)
- Appropriate education of patient and their carers
- Appropriate communication with the patient’s general practitioner
- Referral to other specialist services if needed (e.g. chronic pain management, psychology, or addiction medicine)

Use of peripheral regional analgesia has not been shown to reduce the incidence of PPOU (Hah et al, 2017;Hamilton et al, 2021;Shanthanna et al, 2021).

### 4.3 Opioid misuse and diversion

The number of opioid tablets prescribed at discharge for ongoing management of acute pain often far exceeds the number used by the patient, and many patients neither store their opioid securely nor dispose of any opioid in excess of requirements (Allen et al, 2020;Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021). This reservoir of unused opioid presents significant risks (including unintentional overdose and diversion) to the patient, their family or pets, and to others in the community (Allen et al, 2020;Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021). Longer discharge prescriptions of opioids and repeat prescriptions increase both the risk of PPOU and the development of opioid misuse disorders (Brat et al, 2018).

Over 50% of adults who misuse opioids obtain them from family or friends (Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021).

An assessment of a patient’s risk of opioid misuse can be made – see Section 6.1.2 – and education about secure storage of opioids provided (Levy et al, 2021). Information about the ways in which excess medication can be disposed of decreases the likelihood of patients keeping leftover opioids (Levy et al, 2021).

The risk of misuse and diversion is less with the atypical opioids tramadol, tapentadol and buprenorphine (patches only) (Schug et al, 2020).

## 5. Potential significant adverse effects: non-opioid and adjuvant medications

Potential harms from non-opioid and adjuvant medications must also be considered. See Section 7 for information about selected agents.

## **6. Analgesic stewardship prior to admission**

The period prior to admission allows time for patient/carer education, an assessment of whether the patient may be at risk of some specific short- and longer-term opioid-related complications, and, if time allows, weaning of preoperative opioids. Some patients may also benefit from referral to chronic pain management and/or addiction medicine services.

### **6.1 Patient and carer education**

Education about the surgical procedure and postoperative care has been shown to reduce preoperative anxiety and postoperative pain (Horn et al, 2020). Education should include information about the preadmission, in-hospital and postdischarge stages of treatment, such as (Horn et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021):

- Anticipated levels of pain and discomfort and functional impairment, and expected resolution over time
- Realistic expectations about pain relief after surgery – that the aim of pain relief is to assist with functional recovery after surgery or trauma and while pain will be reduced, it is not likely to be eliminated
- Reassurance that reduction of pain and discomfort and achievement of functional goals is also a priority for the staff who will be caring for them
- The medications and techniques (including non-pharmacological) that are likely to be used
- Risks and side effects of opioids including the potential for inadvertent, long-term use, misuse and addiction
- Risks and side effects of other analgesic medications and analgesic techniques
- Analgesic agents that might be provided after discharge and, if opioids are prescribed, that the aim is for short-term use only (ideally less than one week in most cases) and progressive dose decreases as the patient recovers

### **6.2 Assessment of potential risk factors**

#### **6.2.1 Psychological co-morbidities**

Psychological co-morbidities such as anxiety, depression and catastrophic thinking as well as pre-existing chronic pain and long-term preadmission opioid use are associated with higher risks of PPOU, persistent postsurgical and post-trauma pain, and opioid misuse (Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021). Psychological comorbidities as predictors of high pain scores and opioid requirements are covered in Section 3.

Early identification of vulnerable individuals may offer the opportunity to target modifiable risk factors – for example, initiation of psychological interventions aimed at reducing anxiety, depression and catastrophic thinking (Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021). This has been described by some centres following the development of transitional pain services, acute pain service outpatient services, and the perioperative surgical home, where selected patients can be seen prior to elective surgery and/or after discharge can reduce the risks of postdischarge chronic pain and excessive opioid use (Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

#### **6.2.2 Risk of opioid misuse**

Scoring systems have been developed to assess the risk of opioid misuse in the chronic



pain setting. While not validated for patients with acute pain, one that is very simple and quick to use is the *Revised Opioid Risk Tool – Modified* (ORT-R) (Cheatle et al, 2019).

The ORT-R includes a number of questions which relate to a personal or family history of abuse of alcohol, illegal drugs, or prescription drugs, as well as the patient's age and whether they have a diagnosis of attention-deficit disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, bipolar disorder, or schizophrenia. A diagnosis of depression is scored separately. A score of 2 or lower indicates low risk of future opioid-use disorder (OUD); a score  $\geq 3$  indicates a high risk.

When considering the risk of OUD after discharge, it may be reasonable to widen the definition of 'family' and consider the friends with whom a patient may be living and will be returning to with these medications (Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

### **6.3 Weaning long-term opioid doses**

Compared with opioid-naïve patients, patients who are opioid-tolerant prior to admission are more likely to report higher pain scores after surgery or trauma, have higher opioid requirements, and to be at greater risk of OIVI (Schug et al, 2020) and PPOU, including longer use of any additional opioid prescribed for management of postoperative pain (Levy et al, 2021). Opioid tolerance may also be accompanied by opioid-induced hyperalgesia and physical dependence leading to increased pain sensitivity and risk of opioid withdrawal if their opioid is abruptly ceased or reduced in dose (Schug et al, 2020).

Long-term opioid use prior to admission has also been associated with an increased risk of less well-recognised adverse outcomes after a number of different types of surgery. These include an increased risk of surgical site and periprosthetic infections, higher early revision rates for major joint arthroplasty and spinal fusion, longer hospital stays, a greater chance of non-home discharge, higher numbers of postdischarge emergency department visits and readmission rates, and higher healthcare costs; in some studies, the risks are reported to be proportional to opioid dose (Schug et al, 2020;Quinlan et al, 2021).

There is some evidence that these risks may be reduced, even to levels similar to opioid-naïve patients, if opioids can be reduced in dose or ceased some months prior to admission (Schug et al, 2020;Quinlan et al, 2021).

If opioids are to be weaned, sufficient time prior to surgery is required and weaning should be slow, achievable, and patient patient-centred; rapid or forced tapers may risk precipitating mental health crises in vulnerable patients, illicit drug use and suicide (Quinlan et al, 2021). There is evidence to show that tapering of opioid doses in patients with chronic pain most commonly leads to a reduction in their level of pain or no change in their pain, rather than worsening pain (Macintyre & Schug, 2021;Quinlan et al, 2021).

Information for clinicians related to opioid tapering been published by the Therapeutic Goods Administration of Australia (Therapeutic Goods Administration, 2020).

## **7. Analgesic stewardship during admission**

Analgesic stewardship includes consideration of opioids as well as other analgesic and adjuvant medications and strives for a balance between analgesia that is sufficient to facilitate patient recovery and restoration of function, and minimisation of analgesia-related harms to the patient and others.

Regular assessments of adequacy of analgesia, monitoring, and documentation of any adverse effects or complications should be undertaken to safely and effectively individualise treatment regimens.

### **7.1 Analgesic medications**

Safe and effective management of acute pain requires selection of an appropriate treatment regimen which is then modified as needed for the individual patient, based on assessments of

adequacy of analgesia, monitoring for the onset of any analgesic-related adverse effects, and early recognition and treatment of any adverse effects that arise.

The selection of analgesic medications should be evidence-based and also take into account any fixed patient-related or modifiable risk factors that may impact upon their use. Medications that may be used include opioids, paracetamol, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and ketamine, as well as adjuvant agents including antidepressants and gabapentinoids. Other medications may be required for the treatment of analgesia-related side effects.

Opioids still play a key role in the management of moderate or severe acute pain in many patients. However, non-opioid and adjuvant analgesia medications included as components of multimodal analgesia can offer significant benefits (Schug et al, 2020).

Multimodal analgesia aims to reduce opioid-related adverse effects by reducing opioid requirements. Medications employed as part of multimodal analgesia regimens should not increase the risk of adverse effects or interactions with other analgesic medications. The use of non-opioid analgesic and adjuvant medications and 'opioid-free' analgesic techniques will not avoid the need for opioids in all patients, either in hospital or after discharge, and may not lead to a reduction in patient harm.

Regional analgesic techniques are also important components of multimodal analgesia for some patients. For information refer to the ANZCA professional document *PG03(A) Guideline for the management of major regional analgesia (PS03)*.

#### 7.1.1 Opioids

In adults, age is a better predictor of opioid requirements than patient weight, with requirements decreasing as age increases (Macintyre & Jarvis, 1996). It is likely primarily a result of increased brain sensitivity to opioids rather than age-related changes in pharmacokinetics (Schug et al, 2020).

Therefore, in opioid-naïve patients, initial opioid doses should be based on patient age (Schug et al, 2020; Therapeutic Guidelines, 2020). Additional adjustments may be required (or a particular opioid avoided) based on patient comorbidities (e.g. renal impairment) (Schug et al, 2020).

##### 7.1.1.1 Long-acting opioids

Routine prescription of long-acting opioids including slow-release oral opioids (also referred to as controlled-release, modified-release, extended-release, sustained-release), opioid patches and methadone (including intraoperative use) for the management of acute pain is best avoided unless there is a demonstrated need, close monitoring is available, and a cessation plan is in place.

This recommendation is consistent with those made by many national and international (USA, Canada and the UK) professional bodies and guidelines (FDA, 2012; Webster, 2013; Dowell et al, 2016; Chou et al, 2016; RACGP, 2017; Clarke et al, 2020; Levy et al, 2021; Srivastava et al, 2021; Therapeutic Guidelines, 2020; Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, 2022). It is also in line with the approved indications for long-acting opioids listed by regulatory authorities including the Therapeutic Goods Administration in Australia, Medsafe in New Zealand, and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the US.

Compared with immediate-release opioids, long-acting opioids used for the management of acute pain have been shown to (Tan et al, 2020; Awadalla et al, 2021; Levy et al, 2021):

- Provide less effective pain relief
- Increase the risk of OIVI and other opioid-related adverse events

- Increase the risk of PPOU

Interpersonal variation in pharmacokinetics and response to opioids make predicting a dose of long-acting in an opioid naive person impossible (Schug et al, 2020), and if side effects are encountered, they may be of sustained duration. In addition, the slow onset and offset of long-acting opioids makes rapid and safe titration impossible; down titration as pain resolves may also be more difficult (Schug et al, 2020). It is also known that addition of a 'background infusion' to opioid administration by IV PCA markedly increases the risk of OIVI (Schug et al, 2020). Administration of a new long-acting opioid in addition to IV PCA or PRN oral opioids is essentially the same as adding such a background infusion.

Immediate-release preparations are able to be titrated for each patient and better match the changes in pain intensity that occur in the postoperative and post-trauma periods.

Some patients, for example those who have sustained significant multiple traumatic or burns injuries, may have prolonged duration of pain. In these cases, it may sometimes be appropriate to introduce a long-acting opioid on a temporary basis and after careful assessment. Communication with the primary service (including rehabilitation services) or general practitioner about the temporary basis of this prescription is essential. If long-acting preparations are used, atypical opioids should be considered as they are associated with a lower risk of OIVI and of misuse/diversion than conventional opioids.

Patients who are already taking a long-acting opioid prior to admission, including those in opioid- substitution programs, are tolerant to and physically dependant on that opioid. After independent confirmation of the drug and dose, their long-acting opioid should usually be continued (Schug et al, 2020).

Spinal morphine (intrathecal or epidural) is also long-acting. It has been recommended for use in patients undergoing Caesarean Section (Bollag et al, 2021, Roofthoof et al, 2021) and has also been used for analgesia after other types of surgery, whether added to a spinal local anaesthetic or given alone in patients also having a general anaesthetic (Schug et al, 2020). As with other more specialised analgesia delivery techniques (see Section 4.3.6 of PS41), guidelines and order sets which often combine the medication prescription, monitoring requirements and instructions for nurses and midwives to follow should a patient develop a medication-related adverse effect, are important when spinal morphine is used. The patient remains the responsibility of the anaesthetist administering the spinal morphine or patient management may be delegated to another trained and skilled medical practitioner, or registered nurse or midwife, or to a pain service.

#### 7.1.1.2 Opioid-sparing and opioid-free analgesia

There is good evidence of benefit for using an opioid-sparing analgesic regimen (Schug et al, 2020).

Increasing concerns that opioids used for the management of acute pain contribute to in-hospital adverse events (including OIVI), and to risks after discharge including PPOU and opioid misuse and diversion and thus contributing to the opioid 'epidemic', have led to an increasing focus on the concept of opioid-free anaesthesia and analgesia (OFAA). These good intentions fail to note that intraoperative and immediate postoperative opioid use has not been implicated as contributing to the opioid epidemic (Kharasch & Clark, 2021).

OFAA techniques are currently poorly defined, with limited good evidence to support the technique and the adjuvants recommended, or their optimal doses

(Kharasch & Clark, 2021; Salomé et al, 2021; Shanthanna et al, 2021). In addition, the non-opioid and adjuvant agents tend to be administered with little variation in the doses used; only limited if any titration is possible based on patient needs as further increases in dose can often confer no additional benefit but increase the risk of adverse effects (Shanthanna et al, 2021).

Most studies also look at the effects of OFAA during in the early postoperative period only, and some patients may later be given an opioid (Shanthanna et al, 2021).

While use of OFAA leads to a reduction in nausea and vomiting (Salomé et al, 2021, there is, as yet, no evidence to show that OFAA leads to clinically significant benefits in terms of pain relief and opioid use after surgery, or affects discharge opioid prescribing or the rates of PPOU, including when peripheral regional analgesia is used (Hah et al, 2017; Hamilton et al, 2021; Shanthanna et al, 2021). Evidence to show that OFAA has any greater benefits than an opioid-sparing analgesic regimen is also lacking (Shanthanna et al, 2021).

## 7.2 Non-opioid and adjuvant analgesic medications

In general, the same non-opioid analgesic and adjuvant drugs are used in both opioid-sparing and OFAA regimens. The evidence to support their use is variable.

Selected comments for some of the agents used are given below – for more detail refer to *Acute Pain Management: Scientific Evidence 5e* (Schug et al, 2020).

### **Paracetamol**

There are very few contraindications to the use of paracetamol and the risk of adverse effects is minimal if recommended doses are used (Schug et al, 2020).

### **Non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)**

NSAIDs are still often underused because of concerns about adverse effects. There is evidence that (Schug et al, 2020; Schug, 2021):

- They are opioid-sparing and may decrease the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting when used in multimodal analgesic regimens
- Gastrointestinal ulceration risk is lower with COX2-selective NSAIDs
- Non-selective NSAIDs especially may cause bronchospasm in patients with NSAID-exacerbated respiratory disease
- Non-selective NSAIDs but not COX2-selective NSAIDs interfere with platelet function
- Short-term use of NSAIDs does not appear to increase the risk of serious cardiovascular adverse events after non-cardiac surgery
- There appears to be no clinically significant adverse effect on bone and enteral anastomoses healing, at least when NSAIDs are used for a short period (e.g. 2 weeks)
- The risk of adverse effects is reduced with short-term use (i.e. less than 5 days).

It has been suggested that, in patients at risk of haemodynamic instability or those who have postoperative or post-traumatic haemodynamic instability, NSAIDs should be avoided if the eGFR is less than 80 mL/min (Therapeutic Guidelines, 2020).

### **Gabapentinoids**

Once commonly recommended for inclusion in multimodal analgesia regimens, it is now recognised that the benefits of these medications have been over-estimated and potential harms under-estimated (Kumar & Habib, 2019; Verret et al, 2020).

Their use leads to (Kharasch et al, 2020; Schug et al, 2020; Verret et al, 2020; Evoy et al, 2021):

- Statistically but not clinically significant reductions in postoperative pain and postoperative opioid requirements, and a lower risk of postoperative nausea and vomiting
- No reduction in the incidence of chronic postsurgical pain
- An increased risk of dizziness, visual disturbances and OIVI
- Risk of abuse and misuse

Routine use in the management of acute pain is therefore no longer recommended (Kumar & Habib, 2019; Verret et al, 2020).

### ***Lidocaine***

Evidence of any benefit from lidocaine infusions is limited (Weibel et al, 2018; Weinberg, 2021):

- Reported benefits, including reductions in postoperative pain, opioid requirements, and postoperative nausea and vomiting, and earlier return of bowel function, are not supported by good quality evidence
- Reports of patient harm and 'near-misses' associated with perioperative administration have raised concerns about the risks

### ***Dexmedetomidine***

As yet, there is no good evidence to show that the benefits of dexmedetomidine (an alpha-2 agonist) infusions outweigh the risks.

There may be (Beloel et al, 2021; Demiri et al, 2019; Ma et al, 2021):

- Reductions in postoperative nausea and vomiting and a statistically but not clinically significant reduction in opioid requirements
- No improvement in pain relief
- Risks of perioperative hypotension and significant bradycardia which may persist after cessation of treatment
- Delays in post-anaesthesia care unit discharge due to cardiovascular complications or oversedation

### ***Ketamine***

Ketamine is commonly used in the acute pain setting and is considered to be safe and effective, especially in the low doses commonly used (Schug et al, 2020).

Perioperative low-dose use leads to (Brinck et al, 2018; Schug et al, 2020):

- Reduced postoperative pain intensities and opioid requirements
- Clinically small reductions in postoperative nausea and vomiting
- An incidence of central nervous system adverse events that is little different from controls
- A lower risk of chronic postsurgical pain after some operations

### ***Magnesium***

Low-quality evidence related to perioperative use of magnesium shows (Ng et al, 2020):

- A statistically significant but small reduction in postoperative opioid requirements
- No reduction in postoperative pain scores or postoperative nausea and vomiting

## **7.3 Assessment of analgesic efficacy and adverse effects**

Efficacy of analgesia should include assessment of patient function such as FAS, as well as patient self-reported pain scores. Adjustments to analgesic regimens should not be made based

on pain scores (assessed both at rest and with activity) alone. See Section 3.

Regular monitoring, and documentation of any adverse effects or complications should be undertaken to safely and effectively individualise treatment regimens. For monitoring related to OIVI see Section 4.1.

#### **7.4 Guidelines and order sets**

Implementation of established guidelines and order sets (including in electronic medical record systems) for analgesic medications are recommended, especially those that link opioid prescribing and monitoring (Levy et al, 2021). Such guidelines and order sets will often combine the medication prescription, monitoring requirements and instructions for nurses and midwives to follow should a patient develop a medication-related adverse effect (Macintyre & Schug, 2021). These are especially important for some specialised analgesia delivery techniques which may involve use of complex equipment and require greater medical and nursing knowledge and expertise. However, they are also recommended for immediate-release opioids ordered on an 'as needed' basis; examples are available (Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

#### **7.5 Preparation for discharge**

Preparation for discharge should start soon after admission and include daily review of a patient's pain score and opioid dose trajectories. Patients who are not following an expected trajectory should be assessed as this may signify postoperative or post-trauma complications, neuropathic pain or psychological distress; even before discharge, opioid weaning strategies should commence (Levy et al, 2021).

Referral to an addiction or pain medicine specialist should be considered if the patient is taking two or more psychoactive drugs in combination, a combination of opioids and benzodiazepines, has a significant psychiatric illness, uses illicit drugs, or shows evidence of high-risk behaviours (Schug et al, 2020).

Tolerance to opioids and development of a physical dependence likely takes 7 to 10 days (Therapeutic Guidelines, 2020) and so, after short-term use of a few days only, opioids can be reduced in dose quite rapidly without adverse consequences. If the patient requires opioids for longer than this a slower wean may be needed if the dose trajectory has not been decreasing spontaneously. See Section 6.3.

### **8. Analgesic stewardship at discharge**

#### **8.1 Analgesic prescriptions**

Multimodal analgesia should continue after discharge (Schug et al, 2020). If an opioid is required (Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021):

- Avoid modifiable risk factors for OIVI where possible (see Section 4.1.2.2)
- Avoid modifiable risk factors for PPOU where possible (see Section 4.2.2.2)
- Reassess patient for risk of opioid misuse
- The number of opioid tablets used at home is better predicted by the number of tablets taken the day before discharge and patient age. Therefore, doses prescribed on discharge are best based on the patient's opioid requirement in the 24 hours before leaving hospital rather than the type of surgery alone. Patients who have used no opioid in the day before discharge should not be given an opioid at discharge
- Where oral liquid opioid preparations are used, prescribe and dispense the smallest volume possible. This is particularly relevant when prescribing for children, as commercially produced oral liquid opioid preparations are only available in large volume bottles that typically far exceed the patient's opioid requirements. Pharmacies should be encouraged to



dispense smaller volumes as appropriate.

- Avoid use of long-acting opioids and prescribe immediate-release opioids (if required) to promote functional recovery rather than eliminate pain; promote ‘activity-based analgesia’
- Limit the number of tablets and duration of first prescription. Ideally this should be less than 7 days
- In some patients, ‘interval dispensing’ of an opioid (for example, at one- or two-day intervals) may be required

## 8.2 Patient/carer education

Discussion with patients and carers and written information given at discharge should include the following points (Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021;Macintyre & Schug, 2021).

- That the aim of pain relief is to restore functional activity and not abolish all pain; activity-based analgesia should be the aim. That is, the patient should be encouraged to take their opioid, if needed, prior to periods of activity
- The PRN dose intervals for discharge opioids are often labelled by the pharmacist according to the prescription received (e.g. ‘4 hourly PRN’). However, patients and carers must be told that this does not mean they need to be taken every 4 hours; using as little as possible to achieve functional goals is the aim
- The opioid is not to be used to aid sleep
- If opioids are prescribed, the aim is for short-term use only (ideally less than one week in most cases) and progressive dose decreases as the patient recovers
- Expected duration of treatment; how to taper and then cease opioids
- Information about the importance of any non-opioid and adjuvant analgesics prescribed and how to wean and then cease these medications; opioids should be ceased before other analgesic medications
- The use of non-pharmacological analgesic measures
- Recognition of and reducing the risk of OIVI (the need to avoid benzodiazepines, gabapentinoids, alcohol or cannabis); Patients prescribed opioids to take at home, and their family or carers, should be educated about the significance of sedation and the steps to take should excessive sedation be noted
- The risks of opioid misuse and addiction
- Opioids should only be taken by the person for whom they are prescribed, for the reason for which they have been prescribed, and in doses no higher than prescribed
- Opioids must be stored securely and excess medications disposed of safely: leftover opioid along with unsafe storage increases the risk of accidental poisoning (including children and pets), diversion and misuse
- Prescription opioid use is associated with a significantly increased risk of fatal crash involvement. Opioids impair driving skills and cognitive reasoning in a similar manner to alcohol. Driving risk may be increased in the first few weeks following initiation of a prescription opioid (for example, for management of their acute pain) and, while long-term opioids in stable doses appear to have no significant impact on driver-related psychomotor skills, psychomotor impairment returns if the dose is increased. Advice should be given about the dangers and the legal implications of driving while under the influence of an opioid; patients should be cautioned against driving while still taking an opioid prescribed for ongoing management of acute pain after surgery or injury. The same advice would apply to performing other complex tasks or making key decisions

- The need to see their doctor should significant pain continue

Written material (print or electronic) used to supplement verbal information should be available in a language and reading level appropriate to the patient and others.

### 8.3 Communication with the patient's treating doctors

Communication with the patient's general practitioner or other treating doctors when the patient is discharged is essential and the advice given should mirror that given to the patient. There should be a clear plan for analgesia reduction after discharge, and robust systems for communication with usual treating practitioners in the community will assist in avoiding unintended dose escalation or prolonged duration of opioid use (Schug et al, 2020).

The following information should be considered (Schug et al, 2020;Levy et al, 2021;Macintyre & Schug, 2021):

- Opioid use should be short-term only and that daily dose trajectories should be trending downwards
- Repeat prescriptions for an opioid are a major modifiable risk factors for PPOU and also increase the risk of opioid misuse
- Patients who request more opioid should be reviewed and, if they are still taking an opioid beyond what would be considered the usual healing time for their surgery or injury, or if they have been taking opioids for longer than 90 days after discharge, may benefit from specialist review
- The opioid should only be continued if needed (still ceasing within an overall short timeframe) after exclusion of other causes such as neuropathic pain or postoperative/post-trauma complications, and assessment of relevant psychosocial factors
- Even if some patients have developed chronic postsurgical or post-trauma pain and require medication(s) for its management, adjuvant analgesic agents rather than opioids may be more appropriate if medications are needed

## References

Allen ML, Kim CC, Braat S et al (2020) Post-discharge opioid use and handling in surgical patients: A multicentre prospective cohort study. *Anaesth Intensive Care* **48**(1): 36-42.

Althaus A, Arránz Becker O, Moser KH et al (2018) Postoperative Pain Trajectories and Pain Chronification-an Empirical Typology of Pain Patients. *Pain Med* **19**(12): 2536-45.

Armstrong AD, Hassenbein SE, Black S et al (2020) Risk Factors for Increased Postoperative Pain and Recommended Orderset for Postoperative Analgesic Usage. *Clin J Pain* **36**(11): 845-51.

Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (2019) *NIMC User Guide*.

<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/national-inpatient-medication-chart-nimc-user-guide>.

Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (2022) *Opioid Analgesic Stewardship in Acute Pain Clinical Care Standard - Acute care edition*. Sydney, ACSQHC.<https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/opioid-analgesic-stewardship-acute-pain-clinical-care-standard> Accessed April 2022

Awadalla R, Liu S, Kemp-Casey A et al (2021) Impact of an Australian/New Zealand organisational position statement on extended-release opioid prescribing among surgical inpatients: a dual centre before-and-after study. *Anaesthesia* **76**(12): 1607-15.

Beloeil H, Garot M, Lebuffe G et al (2021) Balanced Opioid-free Anesthesia with Dexmedetomidine versus Balanced Anesthesia with Remifentanyl for Major or Intermediate Noncardiac Surgery. *Anesthesiology* **134**(4): 541-51.

Bollag L, Lim G, Sultan P et al (2021) Society for Obstetric Anesthesia and Perinatology: Consensus Statement and Recommendations for Enhanced Recovery After Cesarean. *Anesth Analg* **132**(5): 1362-77.

- Brat GA, Agniel D, Beam A et al (2018) Postsurgical prescriptions for opioid naive patients and association with overdose and misuse: retrospective cohort study. *BMJ* **360**: j5790.
- Brinck EC, Tiippana E, Heesen M et al (2018) Perioperative intravenous ketamine for acute postoperative pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **12**(12): Cd012033.
- Cheatle MD, Compton PA, Dhingra L et al (2019) Development of the Revised Opioid Risk Tool to Predict Opioid Use Disorder in Patients with Chronic Nonmalignant Pain. *J Pain* **20**(7): 842-51.
- Chou R, Gordon DB, de Leon-Casasola OA et al (2016) Management of Postoperative Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American Pain Society, the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists' Committee on Regional Anesthesia, Executive Committee, and Administrative Council. *J Pain* **17**(2): 131-57.
- Chung F, Liao P, Yang Y et al (2015) Postoperative sleep-disordered breathing in patients without preoperative sleep apnea. *Anesth Analg* **120**(6): 1214-24.
- Clarke HA, Manoo V, Pearsall EA et al (2020) Consensus statement for the prescription of pain medication at discharge after elective adult surgery. *Can J Pain* **4**: 67-85.
- Demiri M, Antunes T, Fletcher D et al (2019) Perioperative adverse events attributed to  $\alpha$ 2-adrenoceptor agonists in patients not at risk of cardiovascular events: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth* **123**(6): 795-807.
- Dowell D, Haegerich TM & Chou R (2016) CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain-United States, 2016. *JAMA* **315**(15): 1624-45.
- Evoy KE, Sadrameli S, Contreras J et al (2021) Abuse and Misuse of Pregabalin and Gabapentin: A Systematic Review Update. *Drugs* **81**(1): 125-56.
- FDA (2012) *Extended-release (ER) and long-acting (LA) opioid analgesics risk evaluation and mitigation strategy (REMS)*. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2012/OpioidREMJuly2012.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/OpioidREMJuly2012.pdf) Accessed August 2020
- Frederickson TW & Lambrecht JE (2018) *Using the 2018 guidelines from the joint commission to kickstart your hospital's program to reduce opioid-induced ventilatory impairment*. Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation <https://www.apsf.org/wp-content/uploads/newsletters/2018/june/pdf/APS201806.pdf>.
- Garrett J, Vanston A, Ogola G et al (2021) Predicting opioid-induced oversedation in hospitalised patients: a multicentre observational study. *BMJ Open* **11**(11): e051663.
- Gupta RK & Edwards DA (2018) *Monitoring for opioid-induced respiratory depression*. Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation Newsletter. <https://www.apsf.org/newsletter/february-2018/> Accessed November 2018
- Hah JM, Bateman BT, Ratliff J et al (2017) Chronic Opioid Use After Surgery: Implications for Perioperative Management in the Face of the Opioid Epidemic. *Anesth Analg* **125**(5): 1733-40.
- Hamilton GM, Tierney S, Ramlogan R et al (2021) Persistent Postoperative Opioid Prescription Fulfillment and Peripheral Nerve Blocks for Ambulatory Shoulder Surgery: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Anesthesiology* **135**(5): 829-41.
- Hayhurst CJ & Durieux ME (2016) Differential Opioid Tolerance and Opioid-induced Hyperalgesia: A Clinical Reality. *Anesthesiology* **124**(2): 483-8.
- Horn A, Kaneshiro K & Tsui BCH (2020) Preemptive and Preventive Pain Psychoeducation and Its Potential Application as a Multimodal Perioperative Pain Control Option: A Systematic Review. *Anesth Analg* **130**(3): 559-73.
- ISMP (2020) *Education is "predictably disappointing" and should never be relied upon alone to improve safety*. <https://ismp.org/resources/education-predictably-disappointing-and-should-never-be-relied-upon-alone-improve-safety> Accessed June 2020
- ISMP Canada *Opioid Stewardship*. [https://www.ismp-canada.org/opioid\\_stewardship/](https://www.ismp-canada.org/opioid_stewardship/) Accessed October 2021
- Joint Commission (2017) *R3 Report Issue 11: Pain Assessment and Management Standards for Hospitals*. <https://www.jointcommission.org/standards/r3-report/r3-report-issue-11-pain-assessment-and-management-standards-for-hospitals/> Accessed March 2020
- Kharasch ED, Avram MJ & Clark JD (2020) Rational Perioperative Opioid Management in the Era of the Opioid Crisis. *Anesthesiology* **132**(4): 603-05.
- Kharasch ED & Clark JD (2021) Opioid-free Anesthesia: Time to Regain Our Balance. *Anesthesiology* **134**(4): 509-14.

- Kumar AH & Habib AS (2019) The role of gabapentinoids in acute and chronic pain after surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* **32**(5): 629-34.
- L'Hermite J, Pagé MG, Chevallier T et al (2021) Characterisation of pragmatic postoperative PAIn Trajectories over seven days and their association with CHronicity after 3 months: a prospective, pilot cohort study (PATCH study). *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med* **40**(1): 100793.
- Lam T, Nagappa M, Wong J et al (2017) Continuous Pulse Oximetry and Capnography Monitoring for Postoperative Respiratory Depression and Adverse Events: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Anesth Analg* **125**(6): 2019-29.
- Lawal OD, Gold J, Murthy A et al (2020) Rate and Risk Factors Associated With Prolonged Opioid Use After Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open* **3**(6): e207367.
- Levy N, Quinlan J, El-Boghdadly K et al (2021) An international multidisciplinary consensus statement on the prevention of opioid-related harm in adult surgical patients. *Anaesthesia* **76**(4): 520-36.
- Ma H, Wachtendorf LJ, Santer P et al (2021) The effect of intraoperative dexmedetomidine administration on length of stay in the post-anesthesia care unit in ambulatory surgery: A hospital registry study. *J Clin Anesth* **72**: 110284.
- Macintyre PE & Jarvis DA (1996) Age is the best predictor of postoperative morphine requirements. *Pain* **64**(2): 357-64.
- Macintyre PE, Loadsman JA & Scott DA (2011) Opioids, ventilation and acute pain management. *Anaesthesia and Intensive Care* **39**(4): 545-58.
- Macintyre PE & Schug SA (2021) *Acute Pain Management a Practical Guide 5e*. Boca Raton, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.
- McCarthy RJ, Adams AM, Sremac AC et al (2021) Trajectories of opioid consumption from day of surgery to 28 days postoperatively: a prospective cohort study in patients undergoing abdominal, joint, or spine surgery. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* **46**(12): 1067-75.
- Ng KT, Yap JLL, Izham IN et al (2020) The effect of intravenous magnesium on postoperative morphine consumption in noncardiac surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* **37**(3): 212-23.
- Quinlan J, Levy N, Lobo DN et al (2021) Preoperative opioid use: a modifiable risk factor for poor postoperative outcomes. *Br J Anaesth* **127**(3): 327-31.
- RACGP (2017) *Prescribing drugs of dependence in general practice, Part C2: The role of opioids in pain management*. <https://www.racgp.org.au/clinical-resources/clinical-guidelines/key-racgp-guidelines/view-all-racgp-guidelines/prescribing-drugs-of-dependence/prescribing-drugs-of-dependence-part-c2> Accessed December 2017
- Roofthoof E, Joshi GP, Rawal N et al (2021) PROSPECT guideline for elective caesarean section: updated systematic review and procedure-specific postoperative pain management recommendations. *Anaesthesia* **76**(5): 665-80.
- Salomé A, Harkouk H, Fletcher D et al (2021) Opioid-Free Anesthesia Benefit-Risk Balance: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *J Clin Med* **10**(10).
- Schug SA (2021) Do NSAIDs Really Interfere with Healing after Surgery? *J Clin Med* **10**(11).
- Schug SA, Palmer GM, Scott DA et al (2020) *Acute Pain Management Scientific Evidence 5th edition*. Melbourne, Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists and Faculty of Pain Medicine. <https://www.anzca.edu.au/safety-advocacy/advocacy/college-publications> Accessed December 2020
- Scott DA & McDonald WM (2008) Assessment, Measurement and History. In: *Textbook of Clinical Pain Management: Acute Pain* 2e edn. Macintyre PE, Rowbotham D and Walker S (eds). London, Hodder Arnold. 135-53.
- Shanthanna H, Ladha KS, Kehlet H et al (2021) Perioperative Opioid Administration. *Anesthesiology* **134**(4): 645-59.
- Srivastava D, Hill S, Carty S et al (2021) Surgery and opioids: evidence-based expert consensus guidelines on the perioperative use of opioids in the United Kingdom. *Br J Anaesth* **126**(6): 1208-16.
- Stark N, Kerr S & Stevens J (2017) Prevalence and predictors of persistent post-surgical opioid use: a prospective observational cohort study. *Anaesth Intensive Care* **45**(6): 700-06.

Sun Z, Sessler DI, Dalton JE et al (2015) Postoperative Hypoxemia Is Common and Persistent: A Prospective Blinded Observational Study. *Anesth Analg* **121**(3): 709-15.

Tan AC, Bugeja BA, Begley DA et al (2020) Postoperative use of slow-release opioids: The impact of the Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists/Faculty of Pain Medicine position statement on clinical practice. *Anaesth Intensive Care* **48**(6): 444-53.

Therapeutic Goods Administration (2020) *Prescription opioids*. <https://www.tga.gov.au/hubs/prescription-opioids> Accessed August 2020

Therapeutic Guidelines (2020) *Pain and Analgesia*. <https://tgldcdp.tg.org.au/guideLine?guidelinePage=Pain+and+Analgesia&frompage=etgcomplete> Accessed October 2021

Vasilopoulos T, Wardhan R, Rashidi P et al (2021) Patient and Procedural Determinants of Postoperative Pain Trajectories. *Anesthesiology* **134**(3): 421-34.

Verret M, Lauzier F, Zarychanski R et al (2020) Perioperative Use of Gabapentinoids for the Management of Postoperative Acute Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Anesthesiology* **133**(2): 265-79.

Webster LR (2013) Eight principles for safer opioid prescribing. *Pain Med* **14**(7): 959-61.

Weibel S, Jelting Y, Pace NL et al (2018) Continuous intravenous perioperative lidocaine infusion for postoperative pain and recovery in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **6**(6): Cd009642.

Weinberg GL (2021) Perioperative Lidocaine Infusion: Does the Risk Outweigh the Benefit? *Anesth Analg* **132**(3): 906-09.

Yang MMH, Hartley RL, Leung AA et al (2019) Preoperative predictors of poor acute postoperative pain control: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* **9**(4): e025091.