

rocedures Endorsement Program: Practice Assessment Pathway				
Assessment checklist				
Applicant:	College ID:			
Assessor:				

A. Assessment against endorsement criteria

	Area	Evidence	Required/ Desirable	Assessment Comments						
1. G	1. General									
1.1	FFPMANZCA	Office to confirm a practising fellow of good	Required	☐ Substantially complies						
		standing		☐ Partially complies						
				☐ Does not comply						
				☐ Unable to assess						
1.2	Medical specialist	Current registration, any conditions?	Required	☐ Substantially complies						
	registration			☐ Partially complies						
				☐ Does not comply						
				☐ Unable to assess						
1.3a	Credentialed in	Credentialing/appointment document from	Required	☐ Substantially complies						
	scope of practice	hospital/clinic (position description may be attached, if relevant)		☐ Partially complies						
		OR		☐ Does not comply						
				☐ Unable to assess						



	Area	Evidence	Required/ Desirable	Assessment Comments
		Statement from applicant signed by a hospital		☐ Substantially complies
		director or equivalent.		☐ Partially complies
				☐ Does not comply
				☐ Unable to assess
		MAC letter	Desirable	☐ Substantially complies
				☐ Partially complies
				☐ Does not comply
				☐ Unable to assess
2. C	ompetence			
2.1	Operates in a socio- psycho-biomedical paradigm	Applicant describes how their practice fits the socio-psycho-biomedical framework and how procedures fits into the framework.	Required	☐ Substantially complies
				☐ Partially complies
				☐ Does not comply
				☐ Unable to assess
		Multidisciplinary staff confirm their roles	Required	☐ Substantially complies
				☐ Partially complies
				☐ Does not comply
				☐ Unable to assess
		Recent multisource feedback (MsF) including feedback from referral network/allied	Desirable	☐ Substantially complies
		health/nursing		☐ Partially complies
				☐ Does not comply
				☐ Unable to assess



NZCA	Area	Evidence	Required/ Desirable	Assessment Comments
2.2a	Clinical experience	CV shows >3 years specialist pain medicine practice consisting at a minimum: One theatre list per month, and One day /two sessions per week consulting in a pain unit that conducts procedures. May include procedural experience gained prior to becoming an FPM fellow. New Fellows are expected to have had their PDS training in pain procedures in an FPM	Required	□ Substantially complies □ Partially complies □ Does not comply □ Unable to assess
2.2b	Volume of Practice (VoP) / Recency of practice in those procedures	accredited unit to have this period counted towards endorsement. Estimate recent VoP, and provide evidence to verify this estimate. Evidence may include: Patient de-identified theatre lists Data report from hospital Statement from practitioner + sign-off by unit director	Required	□ Substantially complies □ Partially complies □ Does not comply □ Unable to assess
2.3	Clinical audit	Evidence of at least one recent clinical audit on procedures outcomes	Required	 ☐ Substantially complies ☐ Partially complies ☐ Does not comply ☐ Unable to assess
2.4a	CPD	Recent CPD statement showing compliance	Required	 ☐ Substantially complies ☐ Partially complies ☐ Does not comply ☐ Unable to assess
2.4b	Procedures-related CPD activities	Evidence of activities over the last 3 years listed in the CPD portfolio	Required	 ☐ Substantially complies ☐ Partially complies ☐ Does not comply ☐ Unable to assess



NZCA	Area	Evidence	Required/ Desirable	Assessment	Comments
		Certificates of completion/ attendance other than already uploaded to CPD portfolio	Desirable	☐ Substantially complies☐ Partially complies☐ Does not comply	
2.5	Education & research	CV demonstrated experience in teaching procedures or publication in relevant publications	Desirable	 ☐ Unable to assess ☐ Substantially complies ☐ Partially complies ☐ Does not comply ☐ Unable to assess 	
2.6	Legal and ethics	Self-assessment as per relevant CCS-related statements Informed consent and substitute decision-making Patient safety and related regulatory requirements Health information privacy and confidentiality Open disclosure	Required	 ☐ Substantially complies ☐ Partially complies ☐ Does not comply ☐ Unable to assess 	
2.7	Affiliation with professional groups and/or academic institutions other than FPM	Membership/ affiliation certificates multidisciplinary societies aligned with a sociopsychobiomedical framework groups focussing on procedures in pain medicine practice, education and research	Desirable	 ☐ Substantially complies ☐ Partially complies ☐ Does not comply ☐ Unable to assess 	



NZCA	Area	Evidence	Required/ Desirable	Assessment	Comments
3. A	dherence to CCS				
3.1	Triage and clinical assessment	 All Pain Medicine Procedures are considered in the context of a socio-psychobiomedical framework For each patient a comprehensive assessment is made considering risks and benefits to ensure the pain procedure is appropriate and risks are mitigated Appropriate referral management systems are in place for patients 	Required	□ Substantially complies □ Partially complies □ Does not comply □ Unable to assess	
3.2	Patient preparation	 Information is provided to patients covering risks, benefits, aftercare, costs, reasonable alternatives Written informed consent is obtained from the patient (or their responsible decision-maker where relevant) prior to each pain procedure Pre-procedure planning is completed for each procedure – including modality of sedation (if applicable), medication management, fasting (as per national guidelines) 	Required	□ Substantially complies □ Partially complies □ Does not comply □ Unable to assess	
3.3	Safe and sufficient facilities	 Relevant certification for the jurisdiction Pain procedures are conducted in facilities that comply with minimum national regulatory standards including appropriate staffing, monitoring, post-procedural care systems, lighting, infection control, radiation safety, IT and communication systems Facilities must have appropriate equipment, staff, staff training, resuscitation systems 	Required	□ Substantially complies □ Partially complies □ Does not comply □ Unable to assess	



NZCA	Area	Evidence	Required/ Desirable	Assessment	Comments
3.4	Sedation and anaesthesia	 Consideration is given to the advantages and disadvantages of using monitored sedation or anaesthesia in the context of each pain procedure All procedures under sedation or anaesthesia are conducted in accordance with the relevant ANZCA standards of clinical care 	Required	☐ Substantially complies☐ Partially complies☐ Does not comply☐ Unable to assess	
3.5	Imaging equipment and practice	 Radiation safety training and licence All procedures using image guidance are conducted in accordance with the relevant safety standards of the institution and RANZCR Proceduralists are proficient in utilisation of radiology equipment and understand and adhere to safety recommendations for themselves, patients and staff All necessary radiation safety equipment is available, of acceptable quality and meets safety standards 	Required	☐ Substantially complies☐ Partially complies☐ Does not comply☐ Unable to assess	
3.6	Proceduralist	 The proceduralist has appropriate training and experience in the range of procedures for which they seek endorsement The proceduralist is actively involved in continuing medical education relevant to their procedural practice The proceduralist engages in peer-review and morbidity and mortality review of their procedural practice 	Required	☐ Substantially complies☐ Partially complies☐ Does not comply☐ Unable to assess	



NZCA	Area	Evidence	Required/ Desirable	Assessment	Comments
3.7	Procedural performance	 Procedures are performed to a standard as determined by best available evidence The proceduralist can explain variations of technique that are acceptable in clinical practice, and can explain regulatory processes for exploring novel techniques or technologies in human research. If adverse events occur during the procedure or recovery, patient is informed of the nature of the incident, its consequences, implications and management. 	Required	☐ Substantially complies☐ Partially complies☐ Does not comply☐ Unable to assess	
3.8	Documentation and communication	 The proceduralist can demonstrate appropriate, accurate and timely documentation, and timely correspondence to relevant stakeholders Procedure documentation accurately records information including – patient demographics, time, location, procedure type, technique, equipment, use of sedation, image guidance, medication including dosage, adverse events, planned follow up, discharge or post procedural instructions Patients and carers are given an explanation on how patient information is collected, used and disclosed, and the safeguards that apply 	Required	 ☐ Substantially complies ☐ Partially complies ☐ Does not comply ☐ Unable to assess 	
3.9	Outcome assessment	 Real-time recording of symptoms (pain) and function is recorded by the patient following diagnostic pain procedures Patient-reported multidimensional outcome measures are completed at appropriate intervals for therapeutic pain procedures All outcomes are documented and communicated to referrers and other relevant stake holders 	Required	☐ Substantially complies☐ Partially complies☐ Does not comply☐ Unable to assess	



	Area	Evidence	Required/ Desirable	Assessment	Comments
3.10	Post procedural	Processes for post procedural care are	Required	☐ Substantially complies	
	care	standardised and appropriate to ensure patient safety and to rapidly identify		☐ Partially complies	
		complications		□ Does not comply	
		 Effective management systems are established to manage any foreseeable complications following pain procedures 		☐ Unable to assess	



B. Referee check

Referees contacte	erees contacted: ☐ Yes ☐ No				
Referee name	Date of contact	Comments			
C. Summary no	otes				
General comments					
Strengths					
Key deficiencies					



D. Recommendation	
☐ Recommend for endorsement – further assessment is not required	
☐ Further assessment required (please select all that apply)	
☐ Submit additional documentation ☐ Proceed to interview ☐ Proceed to on-site review	
Critical areas for follow-up and additional instructions for interviewers/ reviewers:	
☐ Do not recommend for endorsement – further assessment is not required	
Reason:	
Assessor's signature: Date:	