

LONG CASE MARKING CRITERIA

Date and Time: _____

Candidate name: _____

Patient Name: _____

Assessor: _____

(both assessors to complete and submit a marking criteria form)

***Please circle the relevant comments below.**

Marking criteria	0-4 marks Performance <u>below</u> expected level	5-6 marks Performance <u>at</u> expected level	7-8 marks Performance <u>above</u> expected level	9-10 marks <u>Exceptional</u> performance	
1. HISTORY TAKING (key components): A. Presenting complaint B. Pain history , incident/condition history C. Pain cognitions D. Pain impact on mood, physical function, social interaction E. General history F. Systemic enquiry G. Pertinent negative history H. Psychological history/stressors (past and present) I. Social history (developmental history, significant life events) J. Drug and alcohol history K. Interview/communication skills	Poorly organised, inaccurate	Fairly organised and accurate	Skilled and structured history	Very skilled, structured history	Marks awarded for History:
	Missing key issues	Identified most key issues	Identified all key issues	Identified all key issues	
	Inefficient use of time	Efficient use of time	Good time management	Excellent time management	
	Poor rapport Caused distress to patient (insensitive/inappropriate language/action)	Appropriate rapport	Good rapport, active listening with gentle directive questioning	Excellent rapport, active listening with gentle directive questioning	

Marking criteria	0-4 marks Performance <u>below</u> expected level	5-6 marks Performance <u>at</u> expected level	7-8 marks Performance <u>above</u> expected level	9-10 marks <u>Exceptional</u> performance	
2. EXAMINATION (key components): A. Relevant specific systemic physical examination including sensory examination B. Clinical signs present elicited C. Expanded general examination D. Risk of infection awareness E. Respect of patient	Poorly organised approach, appears inexperienced, incomplete	Satisfactory examination	Organised meticulous examination	Well executed structured, thorough examination completed with ease	Marks awarded for Examination:
	Poor time management	Completed within time	Good time management	Excellent time management	
	Important clinical signs missed	Few clinically relevant signs missed	All clinically relevant signs sought and elicited	All clinically relevant signs sought and elicited with ease	
	Patient exposed to risk of infection	Practised appropriate infection control measures	Practised appropriate infection control measures	Practised appropriate infection control measures	
	Poor respect of patient	Patient autonomy respected including <i>modesty</i> and comfort	Patient autonomy respected including <i>modesty</i> and comfort	Patient autonomy respected including <i>modesty</i> and comfort	
	Patient caused unnecessary pain			Superior examination if case is difficult	
3. CASE PRESENTATION A. Opening statement B. Summary of history, examination, investigations C. Interpretation D. Differential diagnosis E. Mental status findings F. Pain Cognitions identified G. Diagnostic formulation With predisposing/precipitating/perpetuating/contributory factors and impact of illness on individual/family/community	Poorly organised opening statement	Organised opening statement	Concise opening statement	Succinct elegant opening statement	Marks awarded for case presentation:
	Poor/misinterpretation of history/examination	Accurate interpretation of history and examination	Structured analysis of history, examination findings and investigations	Mature analysis of history, examination findings and investigations	
	Key issues not identified/not prioritised appropriately	All key issues identified	Good grasp of key issue with appropriate prioritisation	Sophisticated grasp of key issues with appropriate prioritisation	
	Inadequate diagnostic formulation with poor understanding/ judgement of complex underlying issues	Complete and accurate Diagnostic formulation	Well considered complete and accurate diagnostic formulation	Sophisticated diagnostic formulation	

Marking criteria	0-4 marks Performance <u>below</u> expected level	5-6 marks Performance <u>at</u> expected level	7-8 marks Performance <u>above</u> expected level	9-10 marks <u>Exceptional</u> performance	
H. Holistic and patient specific management plan I. Further investigations/prognosis J. Anticipation of potential issues, including barriers to treatment	Narrow focus of management	Planned multimodal management plan appropriate to case	Well formulated, multimodal, holistic practical management plan tailored to specific patient	Sophisticated multimodal, holistic, practical management plan tailored to specific patient	
	Not relevant/appropriate for specific patient		Takes into consideration potential risks and limitations of therapy	Takes into consideration potential risks and limitations of therapy	
	Inadequate management plan		Identifying possible barriers to treatment	Identifying possible barriers to treatment and outlining ways to overcome them	
	Requires extensive questioning to propose management plan				
4. VIVA A. Direct questioning related to case B. Discussion of relevant clinical issues unexplored by candidate C. Discussion around future scenarios/prognosis D. Discussion of recent evidence relevant to case	Inaccurate responses	Correct responses	Correct responses with appropriate clinical reasoning supported by scientific evidence	Correct responses with clinical reasoning supported by scientific evidence	Marks awarded for Viva:
	Gaps in knowledge	Aware of recent research literature relevant to case	Able to discuss recent research literature relevant to case	Demonstrates deeper understanding of recent research literature relevant to case and ability sift the evidence	
TOTAL MARKS					

Additional Notes

Degree of difficulty of patient as a long case: _____

Note any other issues on the day which may have a bearing on the long case performance (e.g. interruptions etc.)

Long case assessment mark sheet

Candidate: _____

Date of assessment: _____

Assessment venue: _____

Brief case descriptor: _____

Assessor 1: _____

Patient: _____

Assessor 2: _____

Both assessors must be FPM Fellows and at least one must have assessed a long case previously.

Please ensure you enter the agreed mark out of 10 for each question.

	History taking	Examination	Case presentation	Viva	TOTAL MARK
Assessor 1					
Assessor 2					
Agreed Mark (out of 10)					(out of 40, 20 is a pass)

Please provide feedback to the candidates.

What did the candidate do well?

In what areas could the candidate improve?

Assessor 1 signature: _____

Assessor 2 signature: _____

Please return this mark sheet to the Faculty.